The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							46-17-50 =	089-25-00 = -	
Michigan [26]	n [26] Gogebic County [053]		Watersmeet [84380] SEC 15 WATERSMEE		ET TWP		46.297222	89.416667	
3028 Highway agency district 1		Owner County Highwa	vner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]				
Route 0	oute 0 OLD US-2		Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CISCO BR		ONTONAGON RIVE	R		
Design - Steel [3] main Stringer/Mult	ti-beam or girder [02]	Design - approach O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 11 Year built 1927 Skew angle 30 Historical significance	Structure F	constructed	ne NRHP. [5]		
Total length 18.2 m = 59.7 ft Length of maximum span 17.6 m = 57.7 ft Deck width, out-to-out 11.1 m = 36.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft		Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft		Curb or side	walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft		
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]									
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]		ituminous [6]							
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface								
Weight Limits									
		Load Factor(LF) [1]	In	ventory rating	33 metric ton = 3	36.3 tons			
		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating 55 metric ton = 60.5 tons					
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				D	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 48 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 1998 Future average daily traffic 48 Year 2018								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 8.5 m = 27.9 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Danair and Danlagament Dlana									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 306000 Roadway improvement cost 31000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 21.8 m = 71.5 ft Total project cost 421000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	ondition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Equal to present desirable cri	teria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]								
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection				69					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings	Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transitions									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date September 2010 [0910] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date									
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection Not needed [N] Other special inspection date									