The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-41-56 =	083-18-58 = -
Michigan [26] Huron County [063]		Brookfield [10940] SEC. 28-29 BRC		ROOKFIELD TWP		43.698889	83.316111	
32303H00010B010 Highway agency district 4			Owner County Highwa	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]		
Route 0 POBANZ ROAD		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected COLUMBIA		DRAIN				
Design - Main  Steel [3]  Stringer/Mu	ulti-beam or girder [02]	Design - approach  Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built  1927  Skew angle  0  Historical significan	Structure F	constructed N/A		
Total length 8.5 m = 27.9 ft Length of maximum span 8 m = 26.2 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.2 m = 20.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.1 m = 20.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.9 m = 19.4 ft		Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft		Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type  Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (		concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	24.5 metric ton	= 27.0 tons	
1.1 km = 0.7 mi  Method to determine operating ratin			Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating 41 metric ton = 45.1 tons		45.1 tons	
Bridge posting 10.0 - 19.9 % below [3]					Design Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 20 Average daily trud	ck traffi % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 80 Year 2029								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost								
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]		is [5]						
Scour	Bridge with "unknown" founda	Bridge with "unknown" foundation that has not been evaluated for scour. [U]							
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well verequired or are in a stable con	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cri	teria [8]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 72.8						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date October 200	Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date						
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						