The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							45-43-22 =	084-43-41 = -	
Michigan [26]	Cheboygan	County [031]	ounty [031] Mackinaw [50300]		0.6 MI S OF US-31			84.728056	
16116092000S030 Highway agency district 2		ay agency district 2	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance respons		responsibility	State Highway Agency [01]			
Route 0	POTTER RD		Toll On free road [3] Fea		atures intersed	cted I-75			
Design - Concrete continuous [2] main Tee beam [04]		Design - approach O Othe	r [00]	Year built 1960	ear built 1960 Year reconstructed N/A [0000]				
	0.40.0 %		200 7106	Skew angle 20 Historical significance		s not eligible for the			
Total length 73.4 m = 240.8 ft Length of maximum span 22.8 m = 74.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.5 m = 31.2 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft									
Inventory Route, Tot Deck structure type	ai Horizontai Ci	earance 8.8 m = 28.9 ft Concrete Cast-in-Pla	Curb or sidewalk water [1]		π	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0.7 m = 2.3 ft	
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concr		Monolithic Concrete	ete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]						
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
		o determine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS	(i) [2] Inve	ntory rating	30 metric ton = 3	33.0 tons		
1.8 km = 1.1 mi Method to d		o determine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS	Ope	rating rating	60 metric ton = 0	66.0 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					gn Load M 1	3.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 60 Average daily truc	k traffi 3 % Year 1997 Future average daily traffic 100 Year 2007								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 11.8 m = 38.7 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Highway, with or without	ped Lanes under structure 4 Navigation control Not applicable, no waterway. [N]								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 3 m = 9.8 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 10.3 m = 33.8 ft									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.93 m = 16.2 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]									
Danis and Danis and Diagram									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost								
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge not over waterway. [N]							
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	cy N/A [N]		Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 90.7					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings		ure meets currently acce						
Traffic safety features - transition		ure meets currently acce						
Traffic safety features - approach		ure meets currently acce						
Traffic safety features - approach		ed feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date March 2009	3 1		Months					
·	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec						
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					