The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

| Basic Information | | | | | | | | 42-55-45 = | 083-43-21 = - |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--------------|---------------|
| Michigan [26] | Genesee County [04 | 19] | Mundy [56160] | | BET US23 XWAY & BREWER RD | | 42.929167 | 83.722500 | |
| 25315H00011B010 Highway agency district 4 | | Owner | wner County Highway Agency [02] | | Maintenance | e responsibility | County Highway A | gency [02] | |
| Route 0 REID ROAD | | | | Toll On free road [3] Features intersected SWARTZ C | | | REEK | | |
| Design - Main Steel [3] Stringer/Multi- | beam or girder [02 | Design - approach Other | r [00] | | Kilometerpoir Year built 1 Skew angle Historical sign | 929 Year re 0 Structure F | econstructed N/A Flared is not eligible for t | | |
| Total length 10.6 m = 34.8 ft Length of maximum span 10 m = 32.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 10.8 m = 35.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft | | | | | | | | | |
| Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft | | | Cur | Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb o | | Curb or side | ewalk width - right | 0 m = 0.0 ft | |
| Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1] | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6] | | | | | | | | | |
| Deck protection | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of membrane/wearing surface | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight Limits | | | | | | | | | |
| Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating | | Load | Load Factor(LF) [1] | | Inventory rating | 33.6 metric ton | = 37.0 tons | | |
| 0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine operating rating | | Load | Load Factor(LF) [1] | | Operating rating | Operating rating 67.2 metric ton = 73.9 tons | | | |
| Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5] | | | 5] | | Design Load MS | S 18+Mod / HS 20 | +Mod [6] | | |

| Functional Details | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Average Daily Traffic 149 Average daily tru | uck traffi 5 % Year 1997 Future average daily traff | ffic 268 Year 2017 | | | | | |
| Road classification Local (Urban) [19] | Lanes on structure 2 | Approach roadway width 10.1 m = 33.1 ft | | | | | |
| Type of service on bridge Highway [1] | Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] | Bridge median | | | | | |
| Parallel structure designation No parallel structure | exists. [N] | | | | | | |
| Type of service under bridge Waterway [5] | Lanes under structure 0 Navigation contro | la | | | | | |
| Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A | Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A | | | | | | |
| Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid | Minimum vertical of | slearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N] | | | | | | | |
| Danair and Danis coment Diana | | | | | | | |
| Repair and Replacement Plans | West days by | | | | | | |
| Type of work to be performed | Work done by | | | | | | |
| | Bridge improvement cost Roadway | y improvement cost | | | | | |
| | Length of structure improvement | Total project cost | | | | | |
| | Year of improvement cost estimate | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - state | Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - structure number | | | | | | |

| Inspection and Sufficiency | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Structure status Open, post implemente | Open, posting recommended but not legally implemented [B] | | Meets minimum tolerable limit | s to be left in place as is [4] | | | | |
| Condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4] | | Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment | | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - substructure | Good [7] | Appraisal ratings - deck geometry | Equal to present minimum criteria [6] | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - deck | Fair [5] | | | | | | | |
| Scour | Bridge is scour critical; bridge | Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3] | | | | | | |
| Channel and channel protection | | Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7] | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequa | Somewhat better than minimin place as is [5] | num adequacy to tolerate l | Status evaluation | Structurally deficient [1] | | | | |
| Pier or abutment protection | | | | 62.9 | | | | |
| Culverts Not applicable. Used | d if structure is not a culvert. [N] | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - railings | | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - transition | ons | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approa | ch guardrail | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends | | | | | | | | |
| Inspection date December 2009 [1209] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months | | | | | | | | |
| Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date | | | | | | | | |
| Fracture critical inspection Other special inspection Not needed [N] Not needed [N] | | Fracture critical inspection date | | | | | | |
| Other special inspection | | | | | | | | |