The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-24-39 =	084-36-25 = -	
Michigan [26]	nigan [26] Gratiot County [057]		St. Louis [71000] CITY OF ST LOU		S		43.410833	84.606944	
294642800008B01 Highway agency district 4		Owner City or Municipa	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility			City or Municipal	Highway Agency [04]		
Route 0 MAIN STREET			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected PINE RIVER						
Design - Steel [3] main  2 Stringer/Mi	ılti-beam or gird	Design - approach er [02] 0 Othe	r [00]	Kilometerpoint 148 Year built 1955 Skew angle 30 Historical significance	Structure Fla	onstructed N/A (0	•	this time. [4]	
Historical significance Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]  Total length 30.4 m = 99.7 ft Length of maximum span 15.2 m = 49.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 10.3 m = 33.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft  Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.8 m = 5.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft									
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]			ace [1]						
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (		e (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]							
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length  0.3 km = 0.2 mi  Method to determine inventory r  Method to determine operating r		,	, , , , ,		, ,	23.8 metric ton = 50.4 metric ton =			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Des	sign Load MS 1	18+Mod / HS 20+	Mod [6]			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 1000 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2005 Future average daily traffic 1800 Year 2025								
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost								
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of n Banks and/or channel have m	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable crit	teria [8]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 72.6					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transitions  Traffic safety features - approach guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends  Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Inspection date March 2008		<u> </u>						
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:	rspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date						