HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2009 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							46-14-49 =	085-55-27 = -
Michigan [26] Schoolcraft County [153]		Germfask [31940] IN GERMFASK				46.246944	85.924167	
75200028000B010 Highway agency district: 1		Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		esponsibility	County Highway Agency [02]		
Route 7558 TEN CURVES RD H44			Toll On fre	e road [3] F	eatures intersecte	d MANISTIQUE	ERIVER	
Design - Concrete [* main 1 Girder and	1] floorbeam system [03	Design - approach] 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint24.Year built1928Skew angle20Historical significance	Structure Flar	nstructed N/A [0 red		
Total length 27.4 m = 89.9 ft Length of maximum span 27.4 m = 89.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.7 m = 25.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.7 m = 22.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.7 m = 22.0 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft		ft	Curb or sidew	valk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengthMethod to determine inventory rating2.6 km = 1.6 miMethod to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]		, ,	8.2 metric ton = 2.7 metric ton =			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Des	sign Load MS 1	8+Mod / HS 20+I	Mod [6]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 673 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 1999 Fut	ture average daily traffic 80	9 Year 200	9			
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way tra	affic [2]	Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizont	al clearance 0 = N/A]			
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge ro			99.99 m = 328.1 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost	Roadway improv	ement cost				
	Length of structure improvement	Total	otal project cost				
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state	Border	bridge - percent respons	sibility of other state			
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundatio	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present	t desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 33.4					
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a culve	ert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	re meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	S	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	nguardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date June 2008 [0	608] Desi	ignated inspection frequency 12	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in	Fracture critical inspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date						