## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							46-37-30 =	088-28-12 = -
Michigan [26]	chigan [26] Baraga County [013]		Covington [18600] 1.4 MI S OF ALBERTA			46.625000	88.470000	
Highway agency district 1			Owner State Highway A	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Ag	jency [01]
Route 41 US-41			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected STURGEON			N RIVER		
Design - Steel [3] main	n approach			Kilometerpoint Year built 1947	3303.3 km = 2048 Year re	.0 mi	8	
1 Arch - Deck [11] 2 Stringe		ger/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure F	Flared sis on the NRHP. [	1]		
Total length 70.7 m = 1	232.0 ft Len	gth of maximum sp	an 39 m = 128.0 ft	Deck width, out-	o-out 13.8 m = 45	.3 ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-	curb 12.8 m = 42.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total F	Horizontal Clearance	12.9 m = 42.3 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left 0 m =	0.0 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft
Deck structure type	Co	oncrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (		e (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]						
Deck protection Epoxy C		oxy Coated Reinforcing [1]						
Type of membrane/wea	ring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Wethou to determine inventory rating				Inventory rating	52.1 metric ton	= 57.3 tons	
8 km = 5.0 mi  Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating	87 metric ton =	ton = 95.7 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					Design Load MS	S 18 / HS 20 [5]	_	

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 3585 Average daily tr	uck traffi 17 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 3800 Year 2020						
Road classification	[02] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost						
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	ngs - superstructur Satisfactory [6]		Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundatio	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present	desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 86.2					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	ert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition		Inpected feature meets currently acce						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date July 2011 [0]	4 Months							
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date					
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical in:	nspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date					