## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							44-55-04 =	093-12-03 = -	
Minnesota [27] Ramsey County [123]			St. Paul [58000]	AT W CO LINE			44-55-04 = 44.917778	93.200833	
3575 Highway agency district 5			Owner County Highway	wner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			City or Municipal I	Highway Agency [04]	
Route 42	CSAH	I 42(FORD PKY)	Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected MISS R & N			MISS BL		
nain approach		crete [1] er [00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi  Year built 1927 Year reconstructed #Num!  Skew angle 0 Structure Flared						
				Historical significa	nce Bridge i	s on the NRHP. [	1]		
Total length 464.4 m =	= 1523.7 ft Len	ngth of maximum s	pan 99.8 m = 327.4 ft	Deck width, out-	25.5 m = 83.	7 ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-o	curb 17.1 m = 56.1 ft	
Inventory Route, Total F	Horizontal Clearance	17 m = 55.8 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left 3.1 m	= 10.2 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	3.1 m = 10.2 ft	
Deck structure type	C	oncrete Cast-in-Pl	ace [1]						
Type of wearing surface Low slump (		ow slump Concrete	Concrete [4]						
Deck protection Epoxy Co		poxy Coated Reint	y Coated Reinforcing [1]						
Type of membrane/wea	nring surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inventory rating		g Allowable Stress(AS	) [2]	Inventory rating	22.5 metric ton	= 24.8 tons		
0.5 km = 0.3 mi	Method to determ	ine operating ratin	g Allowable Stress(AS)	) [2]	Operating rating	37.6 metric ton	= 41.4 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					Design Load MS	22.5 / HS 25 [9]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 17100 Average daily tr	ruck traffi % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 17100 Year 2029							
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 17.1 m = 56.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway [6]	Lanes under structure 4 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]							
Navigation vertical clearanc 15.8 m = 51.8 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 61 m = 200.1 ft							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 30.48 m = 100.0 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 1.8 m = 5.9 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.15 m = 13.6 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intoler	able requiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Danish and Danis consent Diana								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of relocation of road. [32]	Bridge improvement cost 18193000 Roadway improvement cost							
or relocation of road. [52]	Length of structure improvement 464 m = 1522.4 ft Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011							
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficient	ency								
Structure status Op	pen, no restriction	on [A]		ppraisal ratings - tructural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - supe	dition ratings - superstructur Good [7]			Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment		perior to present desirable criteria [9]			
Condition ratings - substructure Good		od [7]		Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as				
Condition ratings - deck Very Good [8]		y Good [8]	deck geometry		is [5]				
Scour Bridge foun		Bridge foundation	s determined to	be stable for assesse	ed or calcula	ted scour condition. [5]			
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is Banks and/or cha	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Better than prese	nt minimum crite	eria [7]		Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]		
Pier or abutment protection		Navigation protec	vigation protection not required [1]			Sufficiency rating	ufficiency rating 78.9		
Culverts Not applicab	ble. Used if stru	ucture is not a culver	t. [N]						
Traffic safety features	Traffic safety features - railings Inpected feat				ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features	- transitions	N	ot applicable or	le or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Not applicab			ot applicable or	le or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Not applica			ot applicable or	ble or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Inspection date August 2011 [0811] Designated inspe				ction frequency 12 Months					
Underwater inspection Unknown [Y60]			Underwater inspection date August 2011 [0811]		311]				
Fracture critical inspection Unkno		nown [N00]	Fracture critical in		spection date				
Other special inspecti	Other special inspection Not ne			Other special insp	ection date				