HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2012 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								44-44-49 =	092-51-09 = -
Minnesota [27]	Dakota County [037]		Hastings	[27530]	0.9 MI N OF JCT TH 55			44-44-49 =	92.852500
5895Highway agency district5		Owner	State Highway A	igency [01]	Maintenar	nce responsibility State Highway Agency [01]			
Route 61 US 61				Toll On free road [3] Features intersected Mississippi F			R. & 2nd St.		
main approach		Steel [3] Stringer/Multi-be	er/Multi-beam or girder [02] Skew angle 0		Structure Flared				
Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2] Total length 566.1 m = 1857.4 ft Length of maximum span 156.7 m = 514.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 12.2 m = 40.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.8 m = 32.2 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.7 m = 31.8 ft				Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.4 m = 4.6 ft			Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0.3 m = 1.0 ft
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Plac			in-Place [1]						
Type of wearing surface Low slump Cond		ncrete [4]	te [4]						
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/we	earing surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour lengtl			rating Loa	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	28.4 metric ton	= 31.2 tons	
3.1 km = 1.9 mi	1 km = 1.9 mi Method to determine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating	Operating rating 52.6 metric ton = 57.9		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load	MS 18 / HS 20 [5]				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 32500 Average daily tru	uck traffi 4 % Year 2004 Future average daily traffic 32500 Year 2029							
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban) [[14] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 14.6	m = 47.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure								
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway [6]	Lanes under structure 6 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridg	e permit required). [1]						
Navigation vertical clearanc 14.6 m = 47.9 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 106.7 m = 350.1 ft							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.48 m =	14.7 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature High	ighway beneath structure [H]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0.3 m = 1.0 f	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0.3 m = 1.0 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.91 m = 16.1 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature [H]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolera	able requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]							
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Widening of existing bridge or other major structure								
without deck rehabilitation or replacement [33]	Bridge improvement cost 10698000 Roadway improvement cost 155000							
	Length of structure improvement566 m = 1857.0 ftTotal project cost2338000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of ot	her state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo		ppraisal ratings - ructural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment		Better than present minimum criteria [7]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]		ppraisal ratings -						
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]		eck geometry						
Scour	Bridge is scour cr	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	sy Superior to prese	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]			Status evaluation	Structurally deficient	[1]		
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protect	Navigation protection not required [1]			Sufficiency rating	41.4			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culver	t. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	is I	npected feature n	re meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	npected feature n	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	npected feature n	re meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Inspection date April 2011 [0411] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months									
Underwater inspection Unknown [Y60]			Underwater inspection date April 2011 [0411]			1]]		
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	wo years [Y24]		spection date	April 2011 [041	1]]		
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	needed [N]		ection date]		