HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							44-58-54 =	093-15-36 = -
Minnesota [27] Hennepin County [053]		Minneapolis [43000] AT NICOLLET ISLAND		44.981667	93.260000			
27664 Highway agency district 5			Owner City or Municipa	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility			City or Municipal F	lighway Agency [04]
Route 0 MERRIAM ST			Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features interse	cted E CHAN MI	SSISSIPPI RIVER	
Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Steel Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02] Other			Kilometerpoint (Compared to Market 1887) Skew angle (Compared to Market 1887) Historical significance (Compared to Market 1887)	Structure F	constructed 1989 Flared seligible for the N			
Total length 78.2 m = 256.6 ft Length of maximum span 60.7 m = 199.2 ft Deck width, out-to-out 10.9 m = 35.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 10.4 m = 34.1 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 10.3 m = 33.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.8 m = 5.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.8 m = 5.9 ft								
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concrete (concr			ce [1] (concurrently placed with str	uctural deck) [1]				
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1] Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 0.2 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		` '	,	Inventory rating 58 metric ton = 96.6 metric ton =				
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]]	Design Load MS	5 13.5 / HS 15 [3]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 1991 Average daily truc	k traffi % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 1991 Year 2029						
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 10.4 m = 34.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 30.48 m = 100.0 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
·	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost						
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection	There are no notice	There are no noticeable or noteworthy deficiencies which affect the condition of the channel. [9]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to presen	t desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 99.8					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings	In	pected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	ns No	ot applicable or a safety feature is no	ot required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail No	ot applicable or a safety feature is no	ot required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail ends No	ot applicable or a safety feature is no	ot required. [N]					
Inspection date October 201	1 [1011] Design	nated inspection frequency 12	Months					
Underwater inspection	Unknown [N00]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [N00]	Fracture critical ins	spection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	pection date					