HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2012 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							44-57-00 =	093-16-54 = -
Minnesota [27]	nesota [27] Hennepin County [053]		Minneapolis [43000]			44.950000	93.281667	
#Num! Highway agency di		cy district 5	Owner County Highway	/ Agency [02]	Maintenance re	esponsibility	County Highway A	Agency [02]
Route 718	PLE	ASANT AVE SO	Toll On fre	e road [3] Fe	eatures intersecte	d MIDTOWN G	REENWAY	
main	ontinuous [2] floorbeam system [0:	Design - approach 3] 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint0 krYear built1913Skew angle0Historical significance	Structure Flar	nstructed #Num red		
Total length 28.7 m = 94.2 ft Length of maximum span 9.6 m = 31.5 ft Deck width, out-to-out 14.9 m = 48.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 2.4 m = 7.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 2.4 m = 7.9 ft					2.4 m = 7.9 ft			
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection Not applicable (applies			s only to structures with no deck) [N]					
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt	Method to deter	nine inventory rating	No rating analysis pe	erformed [5] Inve	entory rating 2	5.3 metric ton =	27.8 tons	
0.2 km = 0.1 mi	Method to deter	nine operating rating	No rating analysis pe	erformed [5] Ope	erating rating 4	2.5 metric ton =	46.8 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	Des	sign Load			

Functional Details		
Average Daily Traffic 3686 Average daily tra	ruck traffi % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 3686 Year 2029	
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 11 m = 36.1 ft	
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median	
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]	
Type of service under bridge Pedestrian-bicycle [3]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Not applicable, no waterway. [N]	
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A	
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	idge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 30.48 m = 100.0 ft	
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]	
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A	
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]	
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]		
Denoir and Deplegement Diang		
Repair and Replacement Plans	Werd dame hu. Werd to be done hu contract [1]	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]	
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost457000Roadway improvement cost25000	
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement34 m = 111.6 ftTotal project cost385000	
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2006	
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state]
	Border bridge - structure number	

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	Apprais structur	sal ratings - ral	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]			sal ratings - ay alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]				
Condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]			isal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]		geometry					
Scour	Bridge not ove	Bridge not over waterway. [N]						
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable	. [N]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy N/A [N]				Statu	is evaluation			
Pier or abutment protection				Suffic	ciency rating	64.3		
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a cu	lvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets	s currently accepta	able standards. [1	1]			
Traffic safety features - transition	S	Not applicable or a safe	Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach	Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]							
Traffic safety features - approach	Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]							
Inspection date June 2011 [0	esignated inspection frequ	uency 12	Months					
Underwater inspection	Und	Underwater inspection date						
Fracture critical inspection Unknown [N00]		Fra	Fracture critical inspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Oth	ner special inspec	tion date				