HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							44-56-40 =	093-05-17 = -	
Minnesota [27] Ramsey County [123]		St. Paul [58000]	t. Paul [58000] 0.7 MI SE OF TH 35E & 94		44.944444	93.088056			
9036 Highway agency district 5			Owner State Highway A	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Ag	ency [01]		
Route #Num! Robert St (US952A)			Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features interse	cted Mississippi	River & RR		
Design - main Concrete [1] Arch - Deck [11]		Design - approach 9 Othe	tressed concrete [5]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1926 Skew angle 0	0 km = 0.0 mi Year re	constructed 1989	9		
Total langth 125 5 m	1420.0 ft Long	ath of maninum and	00 F m 2/ 11 ft	Historical significa	nce Bridge i	s on the NRHP. [17.1 mg F/ 16	
Total length 435.5 m = 1428.9 ft Length of maximum span 80.5 m = 264.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 24.5 m = 80.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 17.1 m = 56.1 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 17 m = 55.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 2.9 m = 9.5 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 2.9 m = 9.5 ft Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]									
		(concurrently placed with str	ructural deck) [1]						
Deck protection Epoxy Coate		ooxy Coated Reinfo	Reinforcing [1]						
Type of membrane/wear	ing surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length	Method to determi	ine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS	5) [2]	Inventory rating	33.9 metric ton	= 37.3 tons		
0.2 km = 0.1 mi	Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS	5) [2]	Operating rating	53.1 metric ton	= 58.4 tons		
	Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load MS	5 22.5 / HS 25 [9]			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 19000 Average daily tr	uck traffi 2 % Year 2004 Future average daily traffic 19000 Year 2029								
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 5 Approach roadway width 17.1 m = 56.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-rail	Iroad [Lanes under structure 6 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]								
Navigation vertical clearance 18.9 m = 62.0 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 61 m = 200.1 ft									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 30.48 m = 100.0 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0.4 m = 1.3 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0.3 m = 1.0 ft									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 7.28 m = 23.9 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 15165000 Roadway improvement cost 959000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 436 m = 1430.5 ft Total project cost 14394000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum of	riteria [7]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable crite	eria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry								
Scour		Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]								
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequate	Superior to prese	nt desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protect	ction not required [1]	Sufficiency rating	74.6						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings	I	npected feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - transition	ns N	Not applicable or a safety feature is no	ole or a safety feature is not required. [N]							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail N	Not applicable or a safety feature is no	ole or a safety feature is not required. [N]							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]										
Inspection date August 2011 [0811] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months										
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date August 2011 [0811]							
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [N00]	Fracture critical ins								
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date							