HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation														39-06-42.34 =	094-35-21.70
Missouri [29]		Clay County [047]				k	Kansas City [38000] S 31 T 50 N R 33			W				39.111761	= -94.589361	
3807		Highway agency district: 3				Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance			ce respo	onsibility	State Highway Ag	jency [01]				
Route 169 US			US 169	169 S			Toll On free road [3]			Features intersected MISSOURI RVR, CST FOURTH						
Design - main	Steel [3]				Design - approach	Steel co	ntinuous	5 [4]	Kilometer _l Year built	oint 1955).3 km = 12	2493.2 m		18	
3 Arch - Thru [12]				13	Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02]			Skew ang			Structure			lared [1]		
									Historical	significa	ince	Histor	rical sigr	nificance is	not determinable at t	this time. [4]
Total leng	th 842.5 m	n = 276	64.2 ft	Leng	gth of maxim	um span	164.6 n	n = 540.1 ft	Deck wid	lth, out-	to-out	16.9 m = 5	5.4 ft	Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-	curb 14.6 m = 47.9 ft
Inventory	Route, Total	l Horiz	zontal Cle	arance	14.6 m = 4	7.9 ft	Cı	ırb or sidewalk w	dth - left	0.8 m	= 2.6 ft		(Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	0.8 m = 2.6 ft
Deck struc	cture type			Со	ncrete Cast	in-Place	[1]									
Type of w	earing surfa	ce		Lo	w slump Cor	ncrete [4]										
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Rein			Reinforci	orcing [1]												
Type of m	nembrane/we	earing	surface													
Weight Li	imits															
Bypass, detour length Method to determine in			ne inventory rating Load Factor(LF) [1]				Inventory rating 22.5 metric ton = 24.8 tons									
0.1 km =	0.1 mi	N	Method to	determi	ne operating	rating	Loa	d Factor(LF) [1]			Opera	ating rating	37.8	metric ton	= 41.6 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above le				bove lega	gal loads [5]			Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]								

Functional Details												
Average Daily Traffic 32584 Average daily tra	uck traffi 10 % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 58651 Year 2035											
Road classification	ys or Exp Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 14.6 m = 47.9 ft											
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median (no barriers) [2]											
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]												
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-railroad [8] Lanes under structure 2 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]												
Navigation vertical clearance 15.8 m = 51.8 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 152.4 m = 500.0 ft												
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.42 m = 14.5 ft												
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]												
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 3 m = 9.8 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A												
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 7.62 m = 25.0 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]												
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Equal to present minimum criteria [6]												
Repair and Replacement Plans												
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]											
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 61774000 Roadway improvement cost 6177000											
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 84.2 m = 276.3 ft Total project cost 92661000											
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2015											
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state											
	Border bridge - structure number											

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Posted for load	d [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]							
Condition ratings - superstructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than	er than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically in							
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; brid	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]								
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present desirable	criteria [8]		Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]						
Pier or abutment protection	In place and functioning [2]]		Sufficiency rating 38.2						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if s	structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transitions										
Traffic safety features - approach g	guardrail Inpected for	eature meets currently acce	ptable standar	ds. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach g	guardrail ends									
Inspection date July 2013 [071	Designated ins	spection frequency 24	Mc	nths						
Underwater inspection U	Inknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ction date	April 2013 [0413]						
Fracture critical inspection Ev	very two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date	July 2013 [0713]						
Other special inspection No.	ot needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date							