HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						38-37-45.20 =	090-10-55.88
Missouri [29]	lissouri [29] St. Louis city [510]		St. Louis [65000]	ouis [65000] S 00 T 00N R 0E		38.629222	= -90.182189
12992	Highway agency district: 5		Owner Other Local Agencies [25]		Maintenance responsibility	City or Municipal H	ghway Agency [04]
Route 0	EADS	EADS BRIDGE		e road [3]	features intersected MISSISSIF	PPI RVR, METROL	
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - Stee approach	ıl [3]		2.7 km = 69.9 mi		
3 Arch - Deck	: [11]		er and floorbeam system [03]	Year built 1874	Year reconstructed 200)3	
7 Ton Been	([11]	On av	or and noorbeam system [oo]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared		
				Historical significance	Bridge is on the NRHP.	[1]	
Total length 1221.3 i	m = 4007.1 ft Lenç	gth of maximum sp	pan 168.4 m = 552.5 ft	Deck width, out-to-c	ut 15.2 m = 49.9 ft Bridge roa	ıdway width, curb-to-cu	urb 14 m = 45.9 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 14 m = 45.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m =				dth - left $0 m = 0.0$	ft Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	1.5 m = 4.9 ft
Deck structure type	Clo	osed Grating [4]					
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently place			(concurrently placed with stru	uctural deck) [1]			
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/wearing surface							
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		g Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Inv	ventory rating 32.4 metric ton	= 35.6 tons		
0.5 km = 0.3 mi Method to determine operating rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2]) [2] O _j	perating rating 52.2 metric ton	= 57.4 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			De	sign Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]			

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 2000 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 2700 Year 2034					
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 14 m = 45.9 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway-railroad [4]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]					
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-rai	Iroad [8] Lanes under structure 4 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]					
Navigation vertical clearanc 16.7 m = 54.8 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 168.4 m = 552.5 ft					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]					
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]					
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 46939000 Roadway improvement cost 4693000					
asterioration of management of original [90]	Length of structure improvement 122.1 m = 400.6 ft Total project cost 70409000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2015					
	Border bridge - state Unknown [175] Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state 1					
	Border bridge - structure number 82992918170					

Inspection and Sufficiency					
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]		
Condition ratings - superstructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to preser	ent minimum criteria [6]	
Condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]		
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry			
Scour	Bridge foundations dete	rmined to be stable for assesse	ed or calculated sc	cour condition. [5]	
Channel and channel protection		mp. River control devices and ment evident. Debris is restrict		stection have widespread minor damage. There is slightly. [6]	
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desira	resent desirable criteria [8]		Structurally deficient [1]	
Pier or abutment protection	In place and functioning	In place and functioning [2]		fficiency rating 53	
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]		·		
Traffic safety features - railings	ed feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - transition	ed feature meets currently acce				
Traffic safety features - approach	ed feature meets currently acce	.[1]			
Traffic safety features - approach	ed feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Inspection date April 2014 [0414] Designated in		ted inspection frequency 24 Mo		ns	
Underwater inspection	Underwater inspec	ction date	September 2014 [0914]		
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date	November 2014 [1114]	
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date		

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information				
Illinois [17] St. Clair County [163]		Applegate [01660]	E ST LOUIS 38-37-44.09 = 3 090-10-43.26 = -9	
82992900000000	Highway agency district: 8	Owner Private (other th	an railroad) [26] Maintenance responsibility Private (other than railroad) [26]	
Route 0	FAU 9187A & MET LI	Toll On fre	e road [3] Features intersected MISS RIV/RR/MSS6000	
Design - Steel [3] main	Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 1.6 km = 1.0 mi Year built 1874 Year reconstructed 2003	
4 Other [00]	41 Other	r [00]	Skew angle 0 Structure Flared	
			Historical significance Bridge is on the NRHP. [1]	
Total length 1112.8 r	n = 3651.1 ft Length of maximum sp	oan 158.5 m = 520.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 15.4 m = 50.5 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 12.2 m = 40.0 ft	
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance 15.2 m = 49.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	idth - left	
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ice [1]		
Type of wearing surface	e Integral Concrete (se	eparate non-modified layer of	f concrete added to structural deck) [2]	
Deck protection				
Type of membrane/wearing surface				
Weight Limits				
Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inventory rating	Allowable Stress (AS	s) rating reported b Inventory rating 89.1 metric ton = 98.0 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating Allowable Stres			6) rating reported b Operating rating 89.1 metric ton = 98.0 tons	
	Bridge posting Equal to or above I	egal loads [5]	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]	

Functional Details					
Average Daily Traffic 7100 Average daily truck traffi 1 % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 11820 Year 2032					
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 4	Appro	ach roadway width 12.5 m = 41.0 ft		
Type of service on bridge Highway-railroad [4]	Direction of traffic 2 - way tr	affic [2]	Bridge median		
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]				
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-rail	road [8] Lanes under structure 2	Navigation control Navigation	control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]		
Navigation vertical clearanc 9.8 m = 32.2 ft	Navigation horizont	al clearance 157.6 m = 517.1 ft			
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft	Minimum vertical clearance over b	ridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft		
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Hi	ghway beneath structure [H]				
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 30.4 m = 99	.7 ft	Minimum lateral underclearance on le	eft 0 = N/A		
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 99.99 m = 328.1 f	Minimum vertical und	derclearance reference feature High	way beneath structure [H]		
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Superior to pres	ent desirable criteria [9]				
Repair and Replacement Plans					
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contr	ract [1]			
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 8850000	Roadway improvement of	cost 885000		
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 1	112.8 m = 3651.1 ft Total project	cost 9735000		
	Year of improvement cost estimate				
	Border bridge - state Unknown [297]	Border bridge	- percent responsibility of other state 99		
	Border bridge - structure number #N	um!			

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Equal to present minimum criteria [6]			
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment				
Condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry				
Scour	Bridge is scour critical;	bridge foundations determined	to be unstable. [3]			
Channel and channel protection		ump. River control devices and ement evident. Debris is restrict	d embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is cting the channel slightly. [6]			
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desira	ıble criteria [8]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection	In place and functionin	g [2]	Sufficiency rating 68.5			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpect	ed feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - transition		ed feature meets currently acce				
Traffic safety features - approach		ed feature meets currently acce				
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inpect	ed feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Inspection date May 2010 [0	Designated	d inspection frequency 24	Months Months			
Underwater inspection Unknown [Y60]		Underwater inspec	December 2009 [1209]			
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical in:				
Other special inspection Not needed [N]		Other special insp	pection date			