The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							38-04-37 =	092-31-36 = -	
Missouri [29]	iller County [131]		Glaze [27244]	S 36 T 39 N R 15	S 36 T 39 N R 15 W		38.076944	92.526667	
20779 Highway agency district 5		Owner County Highwa	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway A	gency [02]			
Route 274	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersec	ted GRAND AU	GLAIZE				
Design - main  Steel continuous [4]  Design - approach  Suspension [13]  Design - approach  Suspension [13]		Kilometerpoint   273.6 km = 169.6 mi     Year built   1920   Year reconstructed   N/A [sision [13]     Skew angle   0   Structure Flared     Historical significance   Bridge is eligible for the N							
Total length 152.4 m = 500.0 ft Length of maximum span 126.2 m = 414.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.4 m = 14.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.7 m = 12.1 ft  Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.7 m = 12.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft									
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]									
Type of wearing surface  Deck protection  Type of membrane/weering									
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length  2.7 km = 1.7 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Inventory rating Operating rating	4 metric ton = 4. 6 metric ton = 6.				
Bridge posting					Design Load				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 250 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2011 Future average daily traffic 425 Year 2031							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.11 m = 13.5 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
D								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 3560000 Roadway improvement cost 356000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 16 m = 52.5 ft Total project cost 5340000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment							
Condition ratings - substructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring	high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations (	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present mi	nimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	9					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	S No	t applicable or a safety feature is no							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail	Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends  Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]									
Inspection date March 2011 [0311] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date									
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date March 2011 [	0311]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						