## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							39-06-39.54 =	094-32-53.72
Missouri [29] Jackson County [095]		Kansas City [38000] S 34 T 50 N R 33 W			39.110983	= -94.548256		
25391 Highway agency district: 3		Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility		sponsibility	City or Municipal H	ighway Agency [04]		
Route 0 LEXINGTON AVE		Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	eatures intersected	CHESTNUT	TFWY		
Design - Steel [3] main  Girder ar	d floorbeam system	Design - approach  [03] 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 16.1 Year built 1907 Skew angle 0	Year recon			
				Historical significance	Bridge is p	ossibly eligible	for the NRHP. [3]	
Total length 117.7 m = 386.2 ft Length of maximum span 19.8 m = 65.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 14 m = 45.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 13 m = 42.7 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 13 m = 42.7 ft			Curb or sidewalk width - left 2.1 m = 6.9		ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface Other [9]								
Deck protection Unknown [8]								
Type of membrane/	wearing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		nventory rating 17.1 metric ton = 18.8 tons				
0.1 km = 0.1 mi  Method to determine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		erating rating 28	28.8 metric ton = 31.7 tons		
	Bridge posting	30.0 - 39.9 % belo	ow [1]	Des	ign Load			

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 2000 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2014 Future a	average daily traffic 2600 Ye	ear 2034				
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roa	dway width 12.8 m = 42.0 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic	[2] Bridg	e median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Highway, with or without	ut ped Lanes under structure 3	Navigation control Not applicable, no	waterway. [N]				
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal cle	earance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	dge M	inimum vertical clearance over bridge ro	99.99 m = 328.1 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature H	ghway beneath structure [H]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 18.3 m = 60	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 18.3 m = 60.0 ft  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 18 m = 59.1 ft	Minimum Vertical Underclearance   18 m = 59.1 ft   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Highway beneath structure [H]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Superior to pres	ent desirable criteria [9]						
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [	1]					
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 926000	Roadway improvement cost	92000				
dotentiation of madequate strength. [50]	Length of structure improvement 12.6 n	n = 41.3 ft Total project cost	1389000				
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2015	5					
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percer	nt responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for Ic	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge not over waterway. [N	Bridge not over waterway. [N]						
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]	Not applicable. [N]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequae	cy N/A [N]		Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 62.4					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	Innected fea	ture meets currently acce	centable standards [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition		itar o mooto carronay acco	ooprasio standardor [1]					
Traffic safety features - approac								
Traffic safety features - approac								
Inspection date April 2014 [C	Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	4 Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	pection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	inspection date October 2013 [1013]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	spection date					