The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							39-56-11 =	091-31-30 = -
Missouri [29]	Marion County [127]		Fabius [23176]	S 2 T 59 N	S 2 T 59 N R 6 W		39.936389	91.525000
21384 Highway agency district 3		Owner County F	wner County Highway Agency [02]		tenance responsibility County Highw		Agency [02]	
Route 304 COUNTY RD 313			Toll	On free road [3]	Features inte	ersected N FABIUS I	RVR	
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thru	[10]	Design - approach O Other	[00]	Kilometerpo Year built Skew angle Historical si	1929 Yea		[0000] not determinable at t	his time. [4]
Total length 61.3 m = 201.1 ft Length of maximum span 61 m = 200.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.4 m = 21.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6 m = 19.7 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6 m = 19.7 ft		Curb or side	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft		Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type	С	oncrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]		ituminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wea	ring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Allowable Stre	ess(AS) [2]	Inventory ratin	g 8.1 metric ton =	8.9 tons	
0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stre	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Operating rating 21.6 metric ton = 23.8 tons			
	Bridge posting	10.0 - 19.9 % belo	ow [3]		Design Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 350 Average daily tra	uck traffi 5 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 438 Year 2029							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.6 m = 24.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.27 m = 14.0 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 832000 Roadway improvement cost 83000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 7.2 m = 23.6 ft Total project cost 1248000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2010							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for Io	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically into	nigh priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations dete	ermined to be stable for assesso	ed or calculated	scour condition. [5	5]			
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being channel. [5]	g eroded. River control devices	and/or embankı	ment have major c	damage. Trees and rush restrict the			
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Better than present mir	nimum criteria [7]	St	tatus evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection			Su	ufficiency rating	26.3			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	f structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transitions		plicable or a safety feature is no						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail		plicable or a safety feature is no						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]								
Inspection date February 2009 [0209] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:	spection date	February 2009	[0209]			
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp						