HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation														40-38-09 =	074-11-50 =	
New York [36]		Richmond County [085]				New York [51000] I278 OVER AUTH			R AUTHI	HUR KILL			40.635833	74.197222	_		
5523059			Highway agency		ncy district #Num!		Owner	Owner Local Toll Authority [32]		Maintenance responsibility		lity L	Local Toll Authority [32]				
Route 278				RTE I2	RTE 1278			Toll Toll bridge [1] Features intersected			ted ARTH	IUR KILL					
Design - Steel continumain Truss - Thru			approach			Skew angle 0 Structure Flared				A [0000] s not determinable at this time. [4]							
						Deck wid	-	o-out 13.5		3 ft Bridg	je roadwa		o-curb 12.1 m = 3				
Deck struc	cture type			Со	ncrete C	ast-in-Pla	ce [1]										
Type of w	earing surfa	ce		Bit	Bituminous [6]												
Deck prote	ection																
Type of m	Type of membrane/wearing surface																
Weight Li	mits																
31				od to determine inventory rating			No	No rating analysis performed [5]			Inventory rating 24.4 metric ton =		c ton = 26	6.8 tons			
6.4 km =	4.0 MI	Me	ethod to d	determi	ne operat	ing rating	No	rating analysis pe	erformed [5]		Operating	rating	85.7 metri	c ton = 94	4.3 tons		
		Bri	idge post	ing E	equal to c	r above l	egal loads	[5]			Design Loa	ad					

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 78300 Average daily tr	uck traffi 12 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 109620 Year 2030
Road classification	ban) [11] Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 12.1 m = 39.7 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median Closed median (no barriers) [2]
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]
Navigation vertical clearanc 41.1 m = 134.8 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 106.6 m = 349.8 ft
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 6.01 m = 19.7 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	mited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Described Described Plans	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 44391000 Roadway improvement cost 25995000
(· · ·)	Length of structure improvement 351.1 m = 1152.0 ft Total project cost 70386000
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011
	Border bridge - state Unknown [342] Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number 0

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to prese							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to pres	sent desirable crite						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically into	olerable requiring h	igh priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry								
Scour		Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]								
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequate	Somewhat bette in place as is [5	er than minimum adequacy to tolerate]	being left Sta	tatus evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection	In place and fur	nctioning [2]	Su	ufficiency rating	ency rating 51.5					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - transition	ns	Not applicable or a safety feature is n	ot required. [N]							
Traffic safety features - approach		rail								
Traffic safety features - approach										
Inspection date August 2010		signated inspection frequency 24								
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe		September 199						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	•	August 2010 [08	310]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date							

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation												40-37-58 :	07.	4-11-29 = -
New York	Richm	Richmond County [085]				New York [51000] I278 NY/NJ BORE			DER			40.632778		191389	
#Num!			Highway agency district #Num!			Owner Local Toll Authority [32]			Maint	enance r	esponsibility	Local Toll Aut	thority [32]		
Route 278			F	RTE 1278			Toll Toll bridge [1] Fe			Features	intersecte	ed WESTERN	N AVENUE, OLD	PLAC	
Design - main Steel [3] Girder and floorbeam sys			am systen	Design - approach [03] O Other		[00]	Skew angle 0 Structure Flared			[0000] not determinable at this time. [4]					
Total length 991.2 m = 3252.1 ft Length of maximum span 34.1 m = 111.9 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6 m = 19.7 ft Curb or sidewalk wid						Deck wid	-	o-out 16.1 r		ft Bridge roa	adway width, curb dewalk width - rigl	o-to-curb 1			
Deck struc	cture type			Concrete C	ast-in-Pla	ce [1]									
Type of we	earing surfa	ce		Bituminous	[6]										
Deck prote	ection														
Type of membrane/wearing surface															
Weight Li	mits														
J.				d to determine inventory rating			No rating analysis performed [5]			Inventory ra	ating 2	21.2 metric tor	n = 23.3 tons		
6.4 km =	4.0 MI	Me	ethod to de	termine opera	ting rating	No	rating analysis pe	erformed [5]		Operating r	ating	45 metric ton =	= 49.5 tons		
		Bri	idge postir	g Equal to	or above le	egal loads	[5]			Design Loa	d				

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 78300 Average daily tr	uck traffi 12 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 109620 Year 2030
Road classification Principal Arterial - Interstate (Ur	ban) [11] Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 12.1 m = 39.7 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median Closed median (no barriers) [2]
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway [6]	Lanes under structure 2 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.87 m = 16.0 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature H	ighway beneath structure [H]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0.4 m = 1.3	ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.47 m = 14.7 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intoler	able requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation	
or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 25801000 Roadway improvement cost 15109000
	Length of structure improvement 991.2 m = 3252.1 ft Total project cost 40910000
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]							
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundatio	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]								
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Equal to present	minimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	48.8						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Traffic safety features - transition	าร	npected feature meets currently acce	otable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail	drail								
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Inspection date August 2010	[0810] Des	gnated inspection frequency 24	Months							
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	tion date							
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:	spection date August 2010 [C	810]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	er special inspection date							