HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						40-37-23.80 =	074-43-15.41
New Jersey [34] Hunterdon County [019]		Readington [62250]	Readington [62250] 0.8MI NORTH US RT.22		40.623278	= -74.720947	
10XXR19 Highway agency district 2		Owner County Highwa	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway A	gency [02]	
Route 0 ISLAND ROAD		Toll On fr	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected ROCKAWA		OCKAWAY CREEK		
Design - Main Steel [3] Girder and	floorbeam system [0	Design - approach 3] 0 Other	er [00]	Year built #Num!	m = 0.0 mi Year reconstru	2001	
				Skew angle 0 Historical significance		igible for the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 21.3 m =	= 69.9 ft L	ength of maximum s	pan 21 m = 68.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	ut 4.3 m = 14.1 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-c	urb 4.1 m = 13.5
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.1 m = 13.5 ft			Curb or sidewalk v	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ f}$	ft C	urb or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type		Corrugated Steel [6]]				
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]							
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/wearing surface Preformed Fabric [2]			2]				
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory ratin			g Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inv	entory rating 12.7 r	netric ton = 14.0 tons	
0.5 km = 0.3 mi Method to determine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ор	erating rating 21.8 r	netric ton = 24.0 tons	
	Bridge posting		_	Do	sign Load		

Functional Details					
Average Daily Traffic 93 Average daily to	ruck traffi 1 % Year 2013 Future average daily traffic 115 Year 2033				
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.1 m = 13.5 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]				
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	idge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]					
Repair and Replacement Plans					
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]				
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 785000 Roadway improvement cost 60000				
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 21.3 m = 69.9 ft Total project cost 944000				
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009				
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number				

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Posted for l	Posted for load [P]		Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - superstructur	Condition ratings - superstructure Good [7]		Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]			
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry				
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	ned to be stable for assesse	sed or calculated scour condition. [5]			
Channel and channel protection			d embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is cting the channel slightly. [6]			
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Better than present minimu	m criteria [7]	Status evaluation			
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 41.5			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings						
Traffic safety features - transition	ns					
Traffic safety features - approach	ch guardrail					
Traffic safety features - approach	ch guardrail ends					
Inspection date		pection frequency 24	4 Months			
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date			
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:	nspection date June 2013 [0613]			
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	spection date June 2013 [0613]			