## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-07-02 =	074-29-36 = -
New Jersey [34]	Monmouth Cour	nty [025]	Upper Freehold [74900] 0.2 MI. N.W. RTE 537				40.117222	74.493333
1300U26 Highway agency district 2		Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		City or Municipal	Highway Agency [04]			
Route 0	N	IEIRS ROAD	Toll On free	e road [3] Fe	atures intersec	ted LAHAWAY	CREEK	
Design - Wood or tirmain  Stringer/Mu	nber [7] ılti-beam or girder	Design - approach  [02] 0 Other	[00]	Year built #Num!  Skew angle 0	Structure FI			
Total length 12.8 m	= 42.0 ft	Length of maximum sp	an 4.3 m = 14.1 ft	Historical significance  Deck width, out-to-ou		not eligible for t		curb 4.6 m = 15.1 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.6 m = 15.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left						Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]								
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length  0.5 km = 0.3 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS)	[2] Inve	ntory rating	21.8 metric ton	= 24.0 tons		
		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Operating rating 29 metric ton = 31.		31.9 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Des	ign Load			

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 321 Average daily tra	uck traffi 3 % Year 2011 Future average daily traffic 392 Year 2031									
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.5 m = 18.0 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Density and Danlessmant Dlane										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 643000 Roadway improvement cost 100000									
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 19.2 m = 63.0 ft Total project cost 1011000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2006									
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for Io	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than min is [5]	nimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum	n criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable c	riteria [9]	Status evaluat	ion Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rat	ing 56.6					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]									
Inspection date									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec							
•	Every two years [Y24]	two years [Y24] Fracture critical ins		1 [0611]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						