The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-21-54 =	074-56-48 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Bucks County [017]		New Hope [53712] NEW HOPE BOROUGH 25H02			40.365000	74.946667		
097411999100050 Highway agency district 6		Owner Local Toll Autho	Owner Local Toll Authority [32]		responsibility	Private (other than	railroad) [26]	
Route 179		PA 179(LR 155)	Toll On fre	e road [3]	eatures intersed	cted DELAWARE	RIVER	
Design - Steel [3] main  6 Truss - Thr	u [10]	Design - approach  O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 k Year built 1904 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure F		not determinable at t	his tima [/]
Total length 320.6 m	n = 1051.9 ft	Length of maximum spa	52.1 m = 170.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-o			dway width, curb-to-c	
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.3 m = 20.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width -			idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$	ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	1.9 m = 6.2 ft	
Deck structure type		Open Grating [3]						
Type of wearing surfa	ce							
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory ratio		determine inventory rating	No rating analysis pe	erformed [5] Inv	entory rating	7.3 metric ton =	8.0 tons	
0.5 km = 0.3 mi	Method to	determine operating rating	No rating analysis pe	erformed [5] Op	erating rating	11.8 metric ton =	= 13.0 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				De	sign Load M 1	3.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 16000 Average daily tr	uck traffi 2 % Year 2002 Future average daily traffic	17804 Year 2013					
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Approach roadway width 6.4 m = 21.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clear	rance over bridge roadway 4 m = 13.1 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference fea	ature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]						
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway im	provement cost 0					
action of an acceptance of only and tool	Length of structure improvement 326 m = 1069.6 ft	Total project cost 1000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Unknown [342] Bo	order bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number 0						

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for	oad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge is scour cr	tical; bridge foundations determined	to be unstable. [3]				
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Equal to present	lesirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 17.1				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	l if structure is not a culve	i. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings	I	pected feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - transition	_	pected feature meets currently acce					
Traffic safety features - approach		pected feature meets currently acce					
Traffic safety features - approach	ch guardrail ends	pected feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]				
Inspection date May 2008 [	0508] Desi	nated inspection frequency 24	Months				
Unknown [Y48]		Underwater inspe					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date				