HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-46-01.86 =	074-54-42.60	
New Jersey [34] Hunterdon County [019]		19]	Lebanon [39660]	0.5 MILES SOUTH OF	MILES SOUTH OF NJ 57			= -74.911833	
100L25W Highway agency district 2		y district 2	Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance r	esponsibility	County Highway Aç	County Highway Agency [02]	
Route 0	POINT	MOUNTAIN RD	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersect	ed MUSCONE	TCONG RIVER		
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 0 k Year built 1905	m = 0.0 mi	onstructed 196	n		
1 Truss - Thr	u [10]	0 Oth	er [00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Fla				
				Historical significance	Bridge is	eligible for the I	NRHP. [2]		
Total length 23.2 m	= 76.1 ft Lenç	gth of maximum s	pan 22.3 m = 73.2 ft	Deck width, out-to-or	ut 4.9 m = 16.1 ft	Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	urb 4.7 m = 15.4 ft	
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearance	4.7 m = 15.4 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ f}$	ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type	We	ood or Timber [8]							
Type of wearing surfa	ce Bit	tuminous [6]							
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/we	earing surface Ot	her [9]							
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		g Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inv	entory rating	13.6 metric ton	= 15.0 tons			
0.8 km = 0.5 mi	Method to determi	ne operating ratir	g Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ор	erating rating	23.6 metric ton	= 26.0 tons		
	Bridge posting	10.0 - 19.9 % be	low [3]	De	sign Load				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 812 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2013 Future average daily traffic 974 Year 2033								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.7 m = 15.4 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 775000 Roadway improvement cost 80000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 23.2 m = 76.1 ft Total project cost 1143000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for Io.	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - superstructure	Condition ratings - superstructure Poor [4]		Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	ned to be stable for the ass	essed or calcula	ted scour condition	. [8]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of Banks and/or channel have		rol devices and e	embankment prote	ction have a little minor damage.		
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimu	Better than present minimum criteria [7]			Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection				ufficiency rating	28.8		
Culverts Not applicable. Used in	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition	S						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends						
Inspection date June 2013 [0	Designated ins	pection frequency 24	Mon	iths			
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date				
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	spection date	June 2013 [0613	3]		
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	ection date	June 2013 [0613			