The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							44-31-29 =	073-27-47 = -
New York [36]	linton County [019]		Au Sable [03221] 2MI NE OF KE		SEVILLE		44.524722	73.463056
1071300 Highway agency district 71			Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Age	ency [01]		
Route 9 RTE 9			Toll On fr	ree road [3]	Features intersec	ted AUSABLE R	IVER, DRIVEWAY,	
Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Arch - Deck [11] 0 Other		Kilometerpoint 22.7 km = 14.1 mi Year built 1934 Year reconstructed 1991 Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Yes, flared [1] Historical significance Bridge is on the NRHP. [1]						
Total length 125.2 m = 410.8 ft Length of maximum span 38.4 m = 126.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 12.7 m = 41.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.5 m = 4.9 ft								
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place			ace [1] eparate non-modified layer	of concrete added t	o structural deck) [2]			
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfo		orcing [1]						
Type of membrane/wear	ing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating 40.8 metric ton = 44.9 tons Operating rating 58.1 metric ton = 63.9 tons				
Bridge posting Equal to or above le			legal loads [5]		Design Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 2497 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 3174 Year 2027							
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway [6]	Lanes under structure 2 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 255000 Roadway improvement cost 152000							
or replacement [e i]	Length of structure improvement 125.2 m = 410.8 ft Total project cost 407000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundatio	Bridge foundations (including piles) on dry land well above flood water elevations. [9]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to presen	t minimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 93.5						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	าร	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date September 2009 [0909] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	pection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	spection date						