The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-27-15 =	076-29-59 = -	
New York [36]	ew York [36] Tompkins County [109]		Ithaca [38077] CITY OF ITHACA				42.454167	76.499722	
2210600 Highway agency district 36		Owner City or Municipa	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility		sponsibility	City or Municipal I	lighway Agency [04]		
Route 0	N	ORTH CAYUGA ST	Toll On fre	ree road [3] Features intersected FALL CREEK					
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thr	u [10]	Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km Year built 1934 Skew angle 25 Historical significance	Structure Flar	ed 2002	HP. [2]		
Total length 34.7 m = 113.9 ft Length of maximum span 33.2 m = 108.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 10.5 m = 34.5 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Deck structure type Other [9]			Curb or sidewalk wi	1.7 m = 5.6	π	Curb or sidewa	alk width - right	1.7 m = 5.6 ft	
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]									
Deck protection Unknown [8]		Unknown [8]							
Type of membrane/we	earing surface								
Weight Limits									
31.	Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Inve	entory rating 1	2.7 metric ton = 1	4.0 tons		
0.1 km = 0.1 mi	Method to det	termine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Ope	rating rating 3	2.7 metric ton = 3	6.0 tons		
	Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Des	ign Load MS 13	3.5 / HS 15 [3]			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 3732 Average daily tr	uck traffi 6 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 4434 Year 2029								
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation									
or replacement. [34]	J I								
	Length of structure improvement 34.7 m = 113.9 ft Total project cost 2322000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than prese						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Meets minimum						
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundation required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Status evaluation							
Pier or abutment protection			Suffic	iency rating 45.1					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culver	. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	I	pected feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1						
Traffic safety features - transition	S N	ot applicable or a safety feature is n							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date September 2008 [0908] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date						
•	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	September 2008 [0908]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date							