The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					42-17-46 =	078-04-29 = -
New York [36]	w York [36] Allegany County [003]		Belfast [05573]	3.0 MI SW OF ANGELICA	42.296111	78.074722
3060090 Highway agency district 61		Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]	
Route 0		CO.RD.16	Toll On fre	e road [3] Features interse	ected GENESEE RIVER	
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - Steel approach	[3]	Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi Year built 1934 Year re	popularizated 1074	
		2 Girde	r and floorbeam system [03]		econstructed 1974 Flared	
				Historical significance Bridge	is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 106.3 n	n = 348.8 ft	Length of maximum sp	an 64 m = 210.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 9.9 m = 32.	5 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-	curb 6.7 m = 22.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.7 m = 22.0 ft		Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left 0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	1.8 m = 5.9 ft	
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]			
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection						
Type of membrane/w	earing surface					
Weight Limits						
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating	28.1 metric ton = 30.9 tons		
0.4 km = 0.2 mi	Method to	determine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating rating	47.2 metric ton = 51.9 tons	
	Bridge pos	ting Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	Design Load		

Functional Details											
Average Daily Traffic 938 Average daily tr	uck traffi 9 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 1268 Year 2029										
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft										
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median										
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control										
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.52 m = 14.8 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]											
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A											
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]											
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]											
Danair and Danlagement Dlang											
Repair and Replacement Plans											
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]										
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 2382000 Roadway improvement cost 1384000										
	Length of structure improvement 106.3 m = 348.8 ft Total project cost 3766000										
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009										
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state										
	Border bridge - structure number										

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than pre							
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimur							
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]								
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of Banks and/or channel have	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Somewhat better than mini in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]								
Pier or abutment protection			Suff	ficiency rating	44.7					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings						_				
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpected fe	pected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpected fe	eature meets currently acce								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends										
Inspection date September 2008 [0908] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months										
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date										
•	Every two years [Y24]			pection date September 2008 [0908]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date							