The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-30-42 =	075-19-09 = -	
New York [36]	Oneida County [065]		Boonville [07366] 2 MI NE BOONEV		.E		43.511667	75.319167	
2205450	Highway agency	/ district 26	Owner Town or Towns	hip Highway Agency [0	3] Maintenance	eresponsibility	Town or Township	Highway Agency [03]	
Route 0	DEVO	E ROAD	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures interse	cted MILL CREEK	(
Design - main Steel [3] Truss - Thru [[10]	Design - approach Other	[00]	Year built 1930 Skew angle 0	Structure F				
Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5] Total length 11.8 m = 38.7 ft Length of maximum span 11.2 m = 36.7 ft Deck width, out-to-out 3.8 m = 12.5 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.5 m = 11.5 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.5 m = 11.5 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right							0 m = 0.0 ft		
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]									
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7]									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length	wethou to determine inventory ruting			i) [2] In	ventory rating	2.7 metric ton = 3	3.0 tons		
19.9 km = 12.3 mi	Method to determine	ne operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS	o) [2]	perating rating	4.5 metric ton = 5	5.0 tons		
	Bridge posting 2	20.0 - 29.9 % belo	ow [2]	De	esign Load				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 10 Average daily tr	uck traffi 6 % Year 1996 Future average daily traffic 12 Year 2016								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 2.7 m = 8.9 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 405000 Roadway improvement cost 273000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 11.8 m = 38.7 ft Total project cost 678000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for loa	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring	high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring	high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determing required. [4]	ned to be stable for assesse	ed or calculated scour conditions	field review indicates action is				
Channel and channel protection		Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Basically intolerable requiri	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3] Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	13				
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	Not applica	able or a safety feature is no						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date April 2009 [0409] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months								
	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec						
·	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins		09]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	ded [N] Other special inspection date						