The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-48-51 =	073-56-03 = -
New York [36]	New York County [0	061]	New York [51000] OVER HARLEM RV IN HA		HARLEM		40.814167	73.934167
2240079 Highway agency district #Num!		Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility			City or Municipal I	Highway Agency [04]		
Route 0	MAD	DISON AVENUE	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	atures intersec	ted RTE 907P,	HARLEM RIVER,	
Design - Steel [3] main	uring [17]	Design - approach Steel [Year built 1907	n = 0.0 mi Year rec	onstructed 1988	3	
Movable - Si	wing [17]	19 Girder	and floorbeam system [03]	Skew angle 99 Historical significance	Structure FI Bridge is	ared Yes, fla not eligible for the		
Total length 354.7 m	= 1163.8 ft Le	ength of maximum spa	46.9 m = 153.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	t 14.6 m = 47.9	oft Bridge road	lway width, curb-to-o	eurb 8.5 m = 27.9 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 8 m = 26.2 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.8 m = 5.9 ft Curb or sidewalk						walk width - right	1.9 m = 6.2 ft	
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Plac	e [1]					
Type of wearing surface	e I	Monolithic Concrete (d	concurrently placed with str	uctural deck) [1]				
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfor		ing [1]						
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating	32.7 metric ton =	= 36.0 tons		
0.1 km = 0.1 mi	1 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ope	erating rating	59.9 metric ton = 65.9 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Des	ign Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 23582 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 9 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 33015 Year 2028							
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 11.5 m = 37.7 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median (no barriers) [2]							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-rail	ilroad [Lanes under structure 8 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]							
Navigation vertical clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 31.6 m = 103.7 ft								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.87 m = 16.0 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0.3 m = 1.0 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.88 m = 16.0 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 20393000 Roadway improvement cost 11968000							
	Length of structure improvement 354.7 m = 1163.8 ft Total project cost 32361000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
ondition ratings - superstructur Good [7]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to pres						
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intol	ent [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequace	y Somewhat better in place as is [5]	ewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left ace as is [5] Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]				[2]			
Pier or abutment protection	In place and func	ioning [2]	Su	ufficiency rating	69.5				
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings	lı	pected feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	S	pected feature meets currently acce							
Traffic safety features - approach	pected feature meets currently acce								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]									
Inspection date October 2008 [1008] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe	ction date	July 2007 [0707]					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	spection date	October 2008 [1008]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						