HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2007 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information										42-40-50 =	077-47-03 = -
New York [36] Livingston County [051]			Groveland [31016] 1.5 MI NW OF GI		ROVELAND		42.680556	77.784167			
3316480 Highway agency dia		agency district	42	Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintena	Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]		
Route 0 PIONEER RD				Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CANASERA			GA CREEK				
Design - mainSteel [3]Design approad1Truss - Thru [10]2		Steel [3]	en er sinder [00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi Year built 1912 Year reconstructed 1952						
		2	Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02]			Skew angle 30 Structure Flared					
						Historical signific	ance Brid	lge is not	eligible for th	e NRHP. [5]	
Total length 31.6 m = 103.7 ft Length of maximum span 18.2 m = 59.7 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.7 m = 15.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.4 m = 14.4 ft											
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.4 m = 14.4 ft			Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or s			Curb or side	walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft			
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]											
Type of wearing surface Other [9]											
Deck protection											
Type of membrane/wearing surface Unknown [8]											
Weight Limits											
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	g 30.3	metric ton =	33.3 tons			
0.4 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating ratin	ng 50.7	metric ton =	55.8 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above le			gal loads [5]			Design Load M 18 / H 20 [4]					

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 27 Average daily tr	Jck traffi6%Year2003Future average daily traffic37Year2023						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 3.3 m = 10.8 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Ige0 m = 0.0 ftMinimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	Ninimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Densis and Denlessment Dises							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation	Bridge improvement cost 232000 Roadway improvement cost 129000						
	Length of structure improvement31.6 m = 103.7 ftTotal project cost361000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2006						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Posted for ot	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]								
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minim	um tolerable limits	e left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	ratings - substructure Poor [4]		Equal to present minimum criteria [6]							
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]								
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment protec debris are in the channel. [4]	Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Meets minimum tolerable limit	ts to be left in place as is	[4] St	tatus evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 47.3							
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transition	e or a safety feature is not required. [N]									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail										
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends										
Inspection date September 2005 [0905] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months										
Underwater inspection										
Fracture critical inspection Every two years [Y24]		Fracture critical inspection date September 2005 [0905]			5 [0905]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	Other special inspection date							