HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation												44-18-08 =	075-58-58 = -
New York [36] Jefferson County [045]				Orleans [55398] 2.5 MI.SW OF A			OF ALEX	LEX. BAY			44.302222	75.982778		
5523240	Н	Highway agency district 73			Owner	Owner Local Toll Authority [32]			Mainter	nance resp	onsibility	Local Toll Auth	ority [32]	
Route 81			RTE 181			Toll Toll bridge [1]			Features intersected COUNTY ROAD # 100, ST.LA					
Design - main Steel [3] Suspension [13]				Design - approach Steel [3] 42 Stringer/Multi-beam		eam or girder [02]	Kilometerpoint 7786 km = 4827.3 mi Year built 1938 Year reconstructed 1986 Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance is			at this time. [4]				
Total length 1371.6 m = 4500.2 ft Length of maximum span 243.8 m = 799.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.2 m = 30.2 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.8 m = 2.6 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.8 m = 2.6 ft									to-curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft					
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Closed Grating [4] Other [9]														
Deck prote	ection embrane/we	earing su	ırface											
Weight Li	mits													
J.	Bypass, detour length 19.9 km = 12.3 mi Method to dete			etermine ope	ating rati	ing No	No rating analysis performed [5 No rating analysis performed [5			nventory ration of the contraction of the contracti		6 metric ton :		
Bridge posting Equal to or above				e legal loads	egal loads [5]			Design Load M 18 / H 20 [4]						

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 5600 Average daily tr	uck traffi 25 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 6213 Year 2031							
Road classification	ural) [01] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway [6]	Lanes under structure 7 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]							
Navigation vertical clearanc 45.7 m = 149.9 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 60.9 m = 199.8 ft							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.87 m = 16.0 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 2.4 m = 7.9 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.67 m = 15.3 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]								
Described Described Plans								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 6351000 Roadway improvement cost 3719000							
	Length of structure improvement 1371.6 m = 4500.2 ft Total project cost 10070000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011							
	Border bridge - state Unknown [CAN] Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number 0							

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable crit	eria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]								
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cr	iteria [8]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection	None present but re-evaluation	on suggested [5]	Sufficiency rating	42						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transition	ns									
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends									
Inspection date August 2011	[0811] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months							
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec								
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date							