## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	rmation											40-36-23 =	074-02-43 = -
New York [36] Richmond County [			ty [085]		New York	New York [51000] I278 OVER			R VERRAZ. NARROWS			74.045278	
5521218	Hi	Highway agency district #Nur			Owner	Owner Local Toll Authority [32]			Maintenance	e responsibility	Local Toll Autho	ority [32]	
Route 278 RTE				TE 1278			Toll Toll bridge [1]			Features intersected RTE I278, THE NARROWS			
main	main				Design - approach  6 Girder		[3] r and floorbeam system [03]		0 ki 1961 e 99				
						ŀ		Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]					
Total length 2418.2 m = 7934.1 ft Length of maximum span 1281.6 m = 4204.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 25.9 m = 85.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 22.5 m = 73.8 ft													
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 11.2 m = 36.7 ft Curb or s					ırb or sidewalk wi	width - left $0.7 \text{ m} = 2.3 \text{ ft}$ Curb or sidewalk width - right $0.7 \text{ m} = 2.3 \text{ ft}$				0.7  m = 2.3  ft			
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place				ace [1]									
Type of wearing surface Latex Concrete or sir				milar additive [3]									
Deck protection													
Type of membrane/wearing surface													
Weight Lir	mits												
	Bypass, detour length Method to dete			termine inver	tory ratin	g Loa	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inve	entory rating	32.7 metric to	n = 36.0 tons	
9.6 km = 0	6.0 mi	Meth	Method to determine operating rating			ng Loa	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Оре	erating rating	45.4 metric to	n = 49.9 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal				legal loads [	al loads [5]			Design Load MS 18+Mod / HS 20+Mod [6]					

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 182700 Average daily t	ruck traffi 12 % Year 2011 Future average daily traffic 255780 Year 2031									
Road classification	Irban) [11] Lanes on structure 6 Approach roadway width 22.5 m = 73.8 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median Closed median (no barriers) [2]									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structu	re exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway [6] Lanes under structure 6 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit re										
Navigation vertical clearance 69.4 m = 227.7 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 7 m = 23.0 ft										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  4.26 m = 14.0 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0.4 m = 1.3 ft  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0.4 m = 1.3 ft										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   4.26 m = 14.0 ft   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Highway beneath structure [H]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Bridge deck replacement with only incidental widening. [37]	Bridge improvement cost 500000000 Roadway improvement cost 292800000									
wideling. [57]	Length of structure improvement 2418.2 m = 7934.1 ft Total project cost 792800000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011									
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present of							
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intoleral							
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundations	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]								
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present m	ninimum criteria [6]	Status	evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection	In place and function	oning [2]	Sufficie	ency rating	56.3					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert.	[N]								
Traffic safety features - railings	Inį	pected feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - transition	In <sub>I</sub>	pected feature meets currently acce								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends									
Inspection date October 201	Design Design	gnated inspection frequency 24 Months								
·	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec								
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:								
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date							