The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-38-13 =	076-10-35 = -	
New York [36]	Cortland County [023	3]	Homer [35276] VILLAGE C		)F HOMER		42.636944	76.176389	
2207700 Highway agency district 32		Owner Town or Tov	Owner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] Maintenance responsibility		Town or Township	Highway Agency [03]			
Route 0	oute 0 WALL STREET			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected W B TIOUC			HNIOGA R		
Design - Aluminum Iron [9]  1 Truss - Th	, Wrought Iron or Cast ru [10]	Design - approach  O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 187 Skew angle 0 Historical signif	) Structure F	constructed 1988 lared s on the NRHP. [1			
Total length 17.9 m = 58.7 ft Length of maximum span 17.6 m = 57.7 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.1 m = 13.5 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.2 m = 10.5 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.2 m = 10.5 ft		Curb or sidewal	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or side			walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft		
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]									
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7		lood or Timber [7]							
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/w	vearing surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Allowable Stress	(AS) [2]	Inventory rating	9.1 metric ton = 1	10.0 tons		
0.1 km = 0.1 mi  Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		g 13.6 metric ton = 15.0 tons				
	Bridge posting	20.0 - 29.9 % belo	ow [2]		Design Load				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 560 Average daily truck	traffi 5 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 703 Year 2029								
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 3.6 m = 11.8 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
71	/ork done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	ridge improvement cost 183000 Roadway improvement cost 109000								
	ength of structure improvement Total project cost 292000								
Y	ear of improvement cost estimate 2009								
В	order bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
В	order bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for Io	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	riteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requirin	g high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Somewhat better than minim in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]  Status evaluation  Functionally obsolete [2]							
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	29.3					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	S Not applicat	ole or a safety feature is no							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date									
	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec							
·	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical in:	'	0609]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						