## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation											40-42-53.93 =	073-58-34.61
New York [36] New York		York County [0	61]	New Yor	New York [51000] WILLIAMSBURG I		BURG BR E	BR EAST RVR			40.714981	= -73.976281	
2240039			Highway agency district: #Num!		um! Owner	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]		jency [04]	Maintenan	ice respons	ibility	City or Municipal H	ghway Agency [04]
Route 0 Dela		Delar	ncey Street		Toll On free road [3]		Fe	Features intersected BARUCH DRIVE, BEDFORD AV					
Design - main	Steel [3]	.[12]		approach	Steel [3]	eam or girder [02]	Kilometerpo Year built	oint 0 km	n = 0.0 mi Year ı	reconstruct	ed 2002		
9 Suspension [13]		44	Sunger/wull-be	zam or giruer (02)	Skew angle Historical si		Structure Bridge		Yes, flar	ed [1]			
Total leng	th 2033 m	= 667	0.3 ft Lei	ngth of maxim	ım span 487.6 r	m = 1599.8 ft	Deck widt	h, out-to-out	t 35.7 m = 1	17.1 ft Bri	idge roadv	way width, curb-to-cu	23.3 m = 76.4 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.1 m = 20.0 ft			O ft C	rb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft				Cur	rb or sidev	valk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft		
Deck structure type Closed Grating [4]					[4]								
Type of w	earing surfac	ce	li	ntegral Concre	te (separate nor	n-modified layer of	concrete ad	ded to struc	tural deck) [2	2]			
Deck protection													
Type of membrane/wearing surface													
Weight L	imits												
31			Method to determ	ermine inventory rating				Inve	ntory rating	24.5 me	etric ton =	27.0 tons	
0.4 km =	0.2 mi	N	Method to detern	nine operating	rating			Ope	rating rating	51.6 m€	etric ton =	56.8 tons	
		В	ridge posting	Equal to or al	ove legal loads	[5]		Desi	ign Load C	Other [C]			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 84421 Average daily tr	uck traffi 2 % Year 2011 Future average daily traffic 93707 Year 2038								
Road classification Principal Arterial - Other Freeways or Exp Lanes on structure 8 Approach roadway width 29.9 m = 98.1 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway-railroad [4]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median Closed median (no barriers) [2]								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway [6]	Lanes under structure 57 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]								
Navigation vertical clearanc 41.1 m = 134.8 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 396.2 m = 1299.9 ft								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.11 m = 13.5 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature H	ghway beneath structure [H]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 3 m = 9.8 ft								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 3.2 m = 10.5 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intoler	able requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]								
Repair and Replacement Plans									
	Work dans by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 500000000 Roadway improvement cost 292800000								
	Length of structure improvement 2032.4 m = 6668.3 ft Total project cost 792800000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]			
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry				
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	ned to be stable for assesse	sed or calculated scour condition. [5]			
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well required or are in a stable of		devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not			
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum	criteria [6]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection	None present but re-evalua	ition suggested [5]	Sufficiency rating 41.8			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	f structure is not a culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpected fe	eature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpected fe	eature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail Inpected fe	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends Inpected fe	cted feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Inspection date October 2018	Designated ins	pection frequency 24	Months			
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	July 2013 [0713]			
·	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	October 2018 [1018]			
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date			

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-42-53 =	073-58-34 = -
New York [36] New York Cour		061]	New York [51000] WILLIAMSBURG		BR EAST RVR		40.714722	73.976111
2240039	Highway agei	ncy district #Num!	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency		[04] Maintenance responsibility		City or Municipal	Highway Agency [04]
Route 0	DEL	ANCY STREET	Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features interse	cted FDR DRIVE,	EAST RIVER, L	
main		Design - approach  Steel  String	[3] ger/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Kilometerpoint ( Year built 1903  Skew angle 0  Historical significant	Structure F	constructed 2002 Flared Yes, fla is not eligible for th	red [1]	
Total length 2032.4 m = 6668.3 ft Length of maximum span 487.6 m = 1599.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 35.6 m = 116.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 23.2 m = 76.1 ft								
Inventory Route, Total I	г		Curb or sidewalk wi	0  m = 0	0 ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type		Closed Grating [4]						
Type of wearing surface Integral Concrete (sep			eparate non-modified layer of	concrete added to s	tructural deck) [2]			
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory ra			No rating analysis pe	erformed [5]	nventory rating	22 metric ton = 2	4.2 tons	
0.4 km = 0.2 mi  Method to determine operating rate			No rating analysis performed [5]		Operating rating	51.2 metric ton =	56.3 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above I	egal loads [5]	[	Design Load			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 96576 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 7 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 135206 Year 2028								
Road classification   Principal Arterial - Other Freeways or Exp   Lanes on structure   8   Approach roadway width   29.8 m = 97.8 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway-railroad [4]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway [6]	Lanes under structure 40 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]								
Navigation vertical clearanc 41.1 m = 134.8 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 241.7 m = 793.0 ft								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.91 m = 12.8 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature H	ighway beneath structure [H]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   3.2 m = 10.5 ft   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Highway beneath structure [H]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]									
Danair and Danlacement Dlane									
Repair and Replacement Plans	West days by West to be days by early of [4]								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 6041000 Roadway improvement cost 3545000								
( · · · )	Length of structure improvement 2032.4 m = 6668.3 ft Total project cost 9586000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations	determined to be stable for assess	sed or calculated scour condition. [5]					
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better the in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]  Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection	None present but r	e-evaluation suggested [5]	Sufficiency rating 47.1					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings	Inp	ected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	Inp	ected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inp	cted feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inp	ected feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date October 2008	8 [1008] Design	ated inspection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe	ection date September 2008 [0908]					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	October 2008 [1008]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date					