The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-02-06 =	083-30-42 = -
Ohio [39] Hancock County [063]		Biglick [06362] 0.89 MI N TR 207		)7		41.035000	83.511667	
3234517 Highway agency district 1		Owner County Hi	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Main		e responsibility	Sponsibility County Highway Agency [02]		
Route #Num!	BIC	G TWP RD 253	Toll	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected OUTLET DI			ITCH	
Design - Steel [3] main  Truss - Thru	u [10]	Design - approach  0 Othe	r [00]	Kilometerpoint Year built #Nu Skew angle 0	Structure F			
Historical significance  Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]  Total length  15.9 m = 52.2 ft  Length of maximum span 13.7 m = 44.9 ft  Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft  Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.7 m = 15.4 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.6 m = 15.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft  Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]								
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7]								
Deck protection Other [9]								
Type of membrane/wearing surface  Other [9]								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Inventory rating	8.1 metric ton =	8.9 tons		
0.3 km = 0.2 mi  Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Operating rating	10.7 metric ton	= 11.8 tons		
Bridge posting					Design Load			

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 30 Average daily true	ck traffi 0 % Year 1996 Future average daily traffic 42 Year 2027						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 3.7 m = 12.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fea	ature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost						
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for	load [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment							
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge founda	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequ	Equal to preso	nt desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	27					
Culverts Not applicable. Use	ed if structure is not a cu	vert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railing	S	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transit	ions		ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - appro	ach guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]									
Inspection date August 2010 [0810] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months									
Underwater inspection Not needed		Underwater inspe	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24	Fracture critical in	spection date August 2009 [	0809]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	Other special inspection date						