HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2011 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					LL II. [70000]							40-53-24 =	080-33-12 = -
Ohio [39]		Columbiana County [029]			Unity [78890]		0.4 MI S T882			40.890000	80.553333		
1537881		Highway agency district 11		11	Owner	Owner County Highway		Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		esponsibility	County Highway Agency [02]		
Route #Num!			WATER	VATERFORD RD 942			Toll On free road			Features intersected BEAVER CREEK			
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thru [10]				Design - approac		[00]			Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi Year built 1920 Year reconstructed Skew angle 35 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]				
Total length 10.7 m = 35.1 ft Length of maximum span 10.1 m = 33.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.1 m = 13.5 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.8 m = 12.5 ft										o-curb 3.8 m = 12.5 ft			
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.8 m = 12.5 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft 0 m = 0.0 ft 0 m = 0.0 ft								0 m = 0.0 ft					
Deck structure type Corrugated Steel [6]													
Type of wearing surface Integral Concrete (separa				arate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2]									
Deck protection													
Type of membrane/wearing surface													
Weight Limits													
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			No rating analysis performed [5]			rformed [5]	Inventory rating 1.9 metric ton = 2		2.1 tons				
0.5 km = 0.3 mi Method to determine ope			ne operat	ng rating	ting No rating analysis pe			rformed [5]	Operating	rating	2.6 metric ton =	= 2.9 tons	
Bridge posting				Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]									

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 80 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 1951 Future average daily traffic 111 Year 2032
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure1Approach roadway width5.5 m = 18.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost\$29,000Roadway improvement cost\$3,000
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement10.7 m = 35.1 ftTotal project cost\$40,000
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2003
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Posted for lo		opraisal ratings - ructural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]		opraisal ratings - adway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]		ppraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]		deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundation	e foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]								
Channel and channel protection			ed or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Equal to preser	nt desirable criteria	[8]	Sta	atus evaluation	Structurally deficient	: [1]			
Pier or abutment protection				Su	fficiency rating	18.6				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culv	ert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature r	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - transitior	IS	Not applicable or	e or a safety feature is not required. [N]							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	Not applicable or	e or a safety feature is not required. [N]							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	Not applicable or	e or a safety feature is not required. [N]							
Inspection date August 2010	[0810] Des	signated inspection	requency 12	Mont	hs	-				
Underwater inspection		Underwater inspection date								
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]		Fracture critical ins	spection date	August 2010 [0810]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]		Other special insp	ection date						