HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						35-59-10.16 =	095-46-14.07	
Oklahoma [40] Tulsa County [143]		Unknown [00000] .2S OF 121ST S.		35.986156	= -95.770575			
08750000000000 Highway agency district: 8		Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance res		bility County Highway A	gency [02]		
Route #Num! N4065 (185 E)			Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	eatures intersected BRC	OKEN ARROW CREEK		
Design - Steel [3] main Truss - Thr	u [10]	Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 32.2 Year built 1940 Skew angle 0	2 km = 20.0 mi Year reconstructe Structure Flared	ed N/A [0000]		
Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4] Total length 12.2 m = 40.0 ft Length of maximum span 11.6 m = 38.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.8 m = 15.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.8 m = 15.7 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.8 m = 15.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m					Curk	o or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8] Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 0.6 km = 0.4 mi 0.6 km = 0.4 mi			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, ,	ic ton = 4.0 tons		
Method to determine operating rating Bridge posting			Allowable Stress(AS	,	erating rating 5.5 metr	ic ton = 6.1 tons		

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 100 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2013 Future average daily traffic 160 Year 2033					
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4 m = 13.1 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]					
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]					
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 98000 Roadway improvement cost 54000					
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 38.3 m = 125.7 ft Total project cost 225000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009					
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	asically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]					
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erode channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Somewhat better than minimu in place as is [5]	ım adequacy to tolerate b	being left Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection not requ	uired [1]	Sufficiency rating 30.1				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transitio	ns						
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail						
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail ends						
Inspection date July 2014 [C	Designated inspec	ction frequency 24	Months				
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date				
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	nspection date July 2013 [0713]				
Other special inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Other special inspe	pection date July 2014 [0714]				