HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					36-21-56.19 =	095-59-34.45
Oklahoma [40] Tulsa County [143]		Unknown [02210]	Unknown [02210] 5TH ST .1 MI W OF SH 11			= -95.992903
010520000000000	Highway agency district: 8	Owner City or Municipa	ıl Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance responsibility	County Highway A	gency [02]
Route #Num!	E0450 (5 TH ST.)	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fea	atures intersected CREEK		
Design - Steel [3] main Truss - Thru	Design - approach [10]	Other [00]	Kilometerpoint 128. Year built 1920 Skew angle 0	7 km = 79.8 mi Year reconstructed N/A Structure Flared	[0000]	
			Historical significance	Historical significance is	not determinable at th	is time. [4]
Total length 20.4 m =	- 66.9 ft Length of maxin	num span 18.3 m = 60.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	7.6 m = 24.9 ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance $7.3 \text{ m} = 24$.0 ft Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Concrete Cas	-in-Place [1]				
Type of wearing surface	Monolithic Col	ncrete (concurrently placed with str	uctural deck) [1]			
Deck protection						
Type of membrane/we	aring surface					
Weight Limits						
Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inventory	rating Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	ntory rating 8.2 metric ton =	9.0 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determine operating	rating Load Factor(LF) [1]	Oper	rating rating 15.4 metric ton	= 16.9 tons	
	Bridge posting		Desi	ign Load		

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 300 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2013 Future average daily traf	ffic 480 Year 2033								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 6.4 m = 21.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control	ol								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 253000 Roadway	y improvement cost 139000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 49.6 m = 162.7 ft	Total project cost 403000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009									
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intole	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - superstructure	Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		ngs - Better than present minimum criteria [7]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	d to be stable for assesse	d or calculated s	scour condition. [5]			
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment protect debris are in the channel. [4]	ction is severely undermin	ed. River contro	ıl devices have se	vere damage. Large d	eposits of	
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better than minimi in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate bein place as is [5]		atus evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]	
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection not req	Navigation protection not required [1]		fficiency rating	29.8		
Culverts Not applicable. Used Traffic safety features - railings	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - transition							
Traffic safety features - approach							
Traffic safety features - approach							
Inspection date October 2014	4 [1014] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Month	hs			
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	N] Underwater inspec		ion date			
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	wo years [Y24] Fracture critical ins		pection date July 2013 [0713]			
Other special inspection	Every two years [Y24]			date October 2014 [1014]			