The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation								40-31-59 =	079-27-55 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Westmoreland County [129]			Avonn	Avonmore [03688] AVONMORE BRIDGE			40.533056	79.465278		
640156004015280 Highway a			agency district	12 Owne	Owner State Highway Agency [01]		Mainter	nance responsibility	State Highway A	gency [01]
Route 156 SR 0156					Toll On free road [3] Features intersected KISKIMINET			INETAS RIVER		
Design - steel [3] main Truss - Thru [10]		Design - approach				937 Ye	ar reconstructed	1985 s, flared [1]		
						Historical sign	ificance Br	idge is not eligible	for the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 174 m = 570.9 ft Length of maximum span 66.4 m = 217.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 8.3 m = 27.2 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.8 m = 19.0 ft										
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.8 m = 19.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.4 m = 4.6 ft										
Deck structure type Open Grating [3]				j [3]						
Type of wearing surface Monolithic			Monolithic Co	olithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]						
Deck prot	ection									
Type of m	embrane/we	earing surface								
Weight L	imits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine in			determine invento	Load Factor(LF) [1]			Inventory rati	ng 30.8 metric	ton = 33.9 tons	
3.9 km = 2.4 mi Method to determine operating ratio			ng rating L	ing Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rat	ng rating 53.5 metric ton = 58.9 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above leg				above legal load	gal loads [5]		Design Load	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 1008 Average daily tr	uck traffi 21 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 1718 Year 2013								
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.95 m = 16.2 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]								
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
deterioration of madequate strength, [55]	Length of structure improvement 182 m = 597.1 ft Total project cost 1000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]							
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundations determi	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]								
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being enchannel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirab	ole criteria [9]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	58.4						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpected for	eature meets currently acce								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends										
Inspection date May 2009 [0										
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date								
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in								
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	ection date								