The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation												40-54-38	) _	078-38-48 = -
Pennsylva	ania [42]	Clearfield Co	unty [033	3]	Gı	reenwood	d [31376]	BELLS LA	ANDING				40.91055		78.646667
173005035006670 Highway agen		ay agency	y district 2	C	Owner State Highway A		Agency [01] Mainte		ntenance	responsibility	State Highw	ay Ag	ency [01]		
Route 0 SR			SR 300	05 Toll On fro			ee road [3] Features intersected W BR SUSQUEHANNA RIVER								
Design - main  Steel [3]  Truss - Thru [10]			Design - approach	Other [00	Other [00]		Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi  Year built 1892 Year reconstructed N/A [0000]  Skew angle 0 Structure Flared  Historical significance Bridge is possibly eligible for the NRHP. [3]								
Total length 60 m = 196.9 ft Length of maximum spa Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.2 m = 13.8 ft						193.9 ft b or sidewalk wi	Deck wi	_	o-out 4.6 n		ft Bridge ro		rb-to-c	0.1 m = 0.3 ft	
			pen Grating [	3]											
Deck prote	ection														
Type of membrane/wearing surface															
Weight Li	mits														
7.	2 / km = 1.5 mi		Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating			Allowable Stress(AS) Allowable Stress(AS)			Inventory rating 9.9 metric ton = Operating rating 17.1 metric ton						
Bridge posting								Design Loa	ad M 1	3.5 / H 15 [2]					

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 314 Average daily tru	ck traffi 9 % Year 2003 Future average daily traffic 404 Year 2020
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.6 m = 15.1 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	ge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.13 m = 13.6 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	ature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlim	ited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 512000 Roadway improvement cost 250000
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 212.4 m = 696.9 ft Total project cost 1050000
	Year of improvement cost estimate 1996
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Posted for lo	oad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intoleral	igh priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolera	igh priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings -	Appraisal ratings - Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundations dete required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]								
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or vequired or are in a stab	well vegetated. River control d le condition. [8]	evices such as spur	dikes and emb	pankment protection are not					
Appraisal ratings - water adequae	Better than present min	imum criteria [7]	Status	s evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Suffici	ciency rating	1					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transition	ns									
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail ends									
Inspection date March 2003	[0303] Designated	inspection frequency 12	Months							
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspec	ction date M	March 2003 [03	03]					
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	spection date M	02]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date							

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation									40-54-38 =	078-38-48 = -
Pennsylva	ania [42]	Clearfield County [03	33]	Greenwo	ood [31376]	BELLS LA	NDING			40.910556	78.646667
173005035203060 Highway agen			cy district 2	Owner	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintena			Maintenance	e responsibility	State Highway Ag	ency [01]
Route 0 SR 3005			005		Toll On fre	ee road [3]	F	eatures interse	cted W BR SUSC	QUEHANNA RIVER	
Design - main [6]  Prestressed concrete continuou [6]  Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [0.1]			approach			Kilometerpoint 2626.4 km = 1628.4 mi  Year built 2009 Year reconstructed N/A [0000]  Skew angle 51 Structure Flared  Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]					
Total length 113.7 m = 373.0 ft Length of maximum span 29 m = 95.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out							ut 10.8 m = 35.		dway width, curb-to-c	9.8 m = 32.2 ft 0.2 m = 0.7 ft	
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 0 m = 0.0 ft  Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place					arb or sidewark w	nutii - icit	0.2 m = 0.	7 11	Curb or side	waik widtii - rigrit	0.2 111 – 0.7 11
Type of w	earing surface	<i>y</i>	Monolithic Concre	ete (concurrent	tly placed with str	ructural deck	) [1]				
Deck prot	ection	E	poxy Coated Re	inforcing [1]							
Type of membrane/wearing surface											
Weight Li	imits										
31				ermine inventory rating Load and Resistance			FR) [3] Inv	entory rating	38.1 metric ton :	= 41.9 tons	
2.4 km =	1.5 mi	Method to detern	nine operating ra	ting Loa	nd and Resistanc	e Factor(LR	FR) [3] Op	erating rating	68 metric ton =	74.8 tons	
		Bridge posting	Equal to or above	ve legal loads	[5]		De	sign Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 320 Average daily tru	ick traffi 13 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 404 Year 2029
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 9.8 m = 32.2 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	ge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	ature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Danain and Danlasson ant Dlana	
Repair and Replacement Plans	Wash dana hu
Type of work to be performed	Work done by
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	ondition ratings - superstructur		Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry						
Scour			sessed or calculated scour condition. [8]					
Channel and channel protection		ed or well vegetated. River control d a stable condition. [8]	devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present	minimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 99.6					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culver	rt. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	ns I	npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail I	npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date June 2009 [0	0609] Desi	gnated inspection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in	nspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	Other special inspection date					