The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-01-24 =	075-25-18 = -
Pennsylvania [42]	hester County [0:	29]	Easttown [21928] SOUTH OF DEVO		ON 24H12		40.023333	75.421667
152021001400000 Highway agency district 6			Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		sponsibility Sta	ate Highway Ag	ency [01]	
Route 0 WATERLOO ROAD Toll On free road [3] Features intersected DARBY CREEK								
Design - Concrete [1] main Arch - Deck [1]	1]	Design - approach 0 Othe	r [00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1908 Skew angle 45 Historical significance	Structure Flar			
Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5] Total length 15.8 m = 51.8 ft Length of maximum span 15.2 m = 49.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.2 m = 20.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6 m = 19.7 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6 m = 19.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft 0 m = 0								
Deck structure type Not applicable [N] Type of wearing surface Not applicable (applies only to structures with no deck) [N]								
Deck protection Not applicable (applie			es only to structures with no deck) [N]					
Type of membrane/wear	ing surface	Not applicable (appli	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 0.5 km = 0.3 mi	wethou to determine inventory runny				, ,	9 metric ton = 31.9 9 metric ton = 53.9		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			legal loads [5]	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 4083 Average daily to	ruck traffi 5 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 5349 Year 2013								
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.4 m = 21.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
replacements. [50]	Length of structure improvement 23 m = 75.5 ft Total project cost 0								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2005								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable crit	eria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determin required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable of	riteria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection				49.8					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpected fea	ature meets currently acce							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date April 2009 [0409] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	Underwater inspection date						
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection Other special inspection date									