HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							39-49-44 =	075-54-06 = -
Pennsylvania [42]	Chester County [029]		Upper Oxford [79208] UPPER OXFORD;PE		ENN 44G07		39.828889	75.901667
10660 Highway agency district 6		Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]				
Route 0 PUSEY MILL ROAD		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected BIG ELK CF		REEK				
Design - Concrete [** main		Design - approach O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1921 Skew angle 0	0 km = 0.0 mi Year re Structure F		[0000]	
				Historical significar	nce Bridge	s not eligible for t	he NRHP. [5]	
Total length 12.8 m = 42.0 ft Length of maximum span 12.2 m = 40.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.3 m = 17.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.6 m = 15.1 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.6 m = 15.1 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.2 m = 0.7 ft Curb or side		ewalk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft			
Deck structure type	C	oncrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]		ituminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	22 metric ton =	24.2 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating	36 metric ton = 39.6 tons		
Bridge posting 10.0 - 19.9 % below [3]					Design Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 994 Average daily tra	uck traffi 6 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 1219 Year 2032								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.9 m = 16.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]								
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
actorioration of intacquate strongth [50]	Length of structure improvement 16 m = 52.5 ft Total project cost 1000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	um adequacy to tolerate being left in place as						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determi required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being enchannel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirat	ole criteria [9]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	58.6					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpected for	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date January 2012 [0112] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date							
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in							
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	ear [Y12] Other special inspection date January 2011 [0111]							