The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information									40-27-36 =	080-03-30 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Allegheny County [003]			Pittsburgh	Pittsburgh [61000] 301021 AT MCKEE			ROCKS		40.460000	80.058333
027301000030210 Highway agency district 11			Owner	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility			City or Municipal	Highway Agency [04]		
Route 0 WEST CARSON ST Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CHARTIERS CREEK										
Design - Steel [3] main  1 Truss - Thru	[10]	Design - approach	Other [00]		Kilometerp Year built Skew angle Historical s	1925	Structure F	constructed 197 lared s not eligible for		
Total length 43.9 m = 144.0 ft Length of maximum span 42.7 m = 140.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 12.1 m = 39.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 10.4 m = 34.1 ft										
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 10.4 m = 34.1 ft			.1 ft Cui	Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.8 m = 5.9 ft			Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft	
Deck structure type Steel plate (includes orthotropic) [5]										
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]										
Deck protection										
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface									
Weight Limits										
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rational method.		ating Load	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inve	ntory rating	10.9 metric ton	= 12.0 tons		
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	0.3 km = 0.2 mi  Method to determine operating rating		ating Load	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Ope	rating rating	50.8 metric ton	= 55.9 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]						

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 5022 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2005 Future average daily traffic	5524 Year 2020					
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 3	Approach roadway width 10.4 m = 34.1 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  5 m = 16.4 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]						
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway imp	provement cost 0					
acterioration of madequate strength. [55]	Length of structure improvement 44 m = 144.4 ft To	otal project cost 0					
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable	criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiri	ng high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of Banks and/or channel have n	minor repairs. River conti ninor amounts of drift. [7]	rol devices and embankment p	protection have a little minor damage.				
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Status evaluatio	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency ratin	g 11				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date August 2009	[0809] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months	,				
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date September	2001 [0901]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					