The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-06-00 =	075-34-06 = -	
Pennsylvania [42] Chester County [029]			Charlestown [12744] CHARLESTOWN TWP. 14F11			40.100000	75.568333		
157202050401780 Highway agency district 6			Owner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] Maintenance responsibility			Town or Townshi	p Highway Agency [03]		
Route 0	PIC	KERING ROAD	Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features interse	cted PICKERING	CREEK		
Design - Steel [3] main Stringer/Multi-	beam or girder [C	Design - approach 2] 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1894 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure F		ot determinable at	this time. [4]	
Total length 25.3 m = 83.0 ft Length of maximum span 24.4 m = 80.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.2 m = 17.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.1 m = 13.5 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.1 m = 13.5 ft Deck structure type Open Grating [3]		Curb or sidewalk w	idiii - leit U III =	0.0 11	Curb or side	walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft		
Type of wearing surface Wood or Tim		Wood or Timber [7]							
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory ratir		mine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	30.8 metric ton =	33.9 tons		
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating	51.7 metric ton =	56.9 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			egal loads [5]		Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 42 Average daily tru	ıck traffi % Year 1989 Future average daily traffic 59 Year 2009							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.9 m = 16.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Danair and Danlagement Dlang								
Repair and Replacement Plans Type of work to be performed.	Work done by							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]		15 [0]						
Scour		Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable crit	teria [8]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 78.3						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date April 2008 [0408] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection Every two years [Y24]		Underwater inspec	ection date November 2001 [1101]						
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [N00]	Fracture critical ins	spection date						
Other special inspection	Unknown [N00]	Other special inspe	pection date						