The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation								39-54-03 =	075-38-16 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Chester County [029]			Pocopson [Pocopson [61800] POCOPSON TOWNSHIP 40F08		39.900833	75.637778			
157015043802490 Highway agenc			gency district 6	Owner County Highwa		y Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway	Agency [02]	
Route 359 DENTON HOLLOW ROAD				OAD	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected POCOPSON			ON CREEK		
Design - main Masonry [8] Design - approach Arch - Deck [11] 0 Other		er [00] Skew angle 0 Structure Flared			A [0000]	alle en 10				
Historical significance Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4] Total length 9.4 m = 30.8 ft Length of maximum span 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.7 m = 22.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.5 m = 18.0 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.5 m = 18.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft Total length 9.4 m = 30.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.7 m = 22.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.5 m = 18.0 ft Total length 9.4 m = 30.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.7 m = 22.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.5 m = 18.0 ft Total length 9.4 m = 30.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.7 m = 22.0 ft Total length 9.4 m = 30.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.7 m = 22.0 ft Total length Possible Possibl										
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Deck protection Not applicable (applies Not applicable (applies			plies only to stru							
			pplies only to stru	lies only to structures with no deck) [N]						
Weight L	imits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			ing No rat	No rating analysis performed [5]		Inventory ration	ng 21.8 metric ton	= 24.0 tons		
0.5 km = 0.3 mi Method to determine operating ra			ing No rat	No rating analysis performed [5]		Operating rati	Operating rating 32.7 metric ton = 36.0 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal load				ve legal loads [5]	ds [5]		Design Load	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 99 Average daily tr	uck traffi 13 % Year 2009 Future avera	ge daily traffic 38 Year 2003						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 5.2 m = 1	7.1 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navio	gation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearan	ce 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimu Minimu	ım vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Denoir and Denlessment Diene								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0	Roadway improvement cost 0						
'	Length of structure improvement 16 m = 52.	5 ft Total project cost 0						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other	state					
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Appraisal ratings - deck geometry						
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]		Meets minimum tolerable limits	s to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]							
Scour		Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment protect debris are in the channel. [4]	Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cri	iteria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	56.7				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends								
Inspection date November 2008 [1108] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspec	November 200	8 [1108]				
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [N00]	Fracture critical ins	spection date					
Other special inspection	Unknown [N00]	Other special insp	ection date					