The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-02-35 =	076-13-21 = -	
Pennsylvania [42]	Luzerne County [079]	zerne County [079]		escopeck [52992] NESCOPECK TWP JCT SR 3015			41.043056	76.222500	
403014002023800 Highway agency of		y district: 4	Owner State Highway Agency [01]			e responsibility	State Highway Agency [01]		
Route 0	SR 30	14 DUMP HILL	Toll On fi	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected NESCOPEC			CK CREEK		
Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Truss - Thru [10] 0 Other [6]		r [00]	Kilometerpoint 76.6 km = 47.5 mi Year built 1905 Year reconstructed N/A [0000] Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]						
Total length 40.8 m = 133.9 ft Length of maximum span 39.6 m = 129.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.8 m = 19.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.4 m = 17.7 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.4 m = 17.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.1 m = 0.3 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.1 m = 0.3 ft									
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Deck protection	O _I	pen Grating [3]	- Curb of Sidewalk	width loft	O.T.III		waii ngit	0.1 III 0.0 II	
Type of membrane/we	earing surface								
Weight Limits Bypass, detour length 1.4 km = 0.9 mi Method to determine inventory ra		, ,	` ' '		Inventory rating Operating rating	5.4 metric ton = 9.1 metric ton =			
	Bridge posting	10.0 - 19.9 % belo	ow [3]		Design Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 185 Average daily tra	ruck traffi 9 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 358 Year 2026								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 5.2 m = 17.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4 m = 13.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 41 m = 134.5 ft Total project cost 1000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2006								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Posted for lo	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - superstructure		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present mir	ria [6]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry								
Scour		Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]								
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequae	cy Equal to present desirable cri	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection					16.4					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transition	ns									
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends										
Inspection date July 2009 [0709] Designated inspection frequency 6 Months										
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspec	07]							
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	eded [N] Other special inspection date								