The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

| Basic Information | | | | | | 41-24-27 = | 075-37-35 = - |
|---|--|--|---|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| Pennsylvania [42] Lackawanna County | lvania [42] Lackawanna County [069] | | Dunmore [20352] 0.7 MI SE OF SR 6 | | 6011 | | 75.626389 |
| 357407131200240 Highway agency district 4 | | Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility | | | City or Municipal H | lighway Agency [04] | |
| Route 0 MILLS | ST | Toll On free | e road [3] | Features intersec | ted D&L RR/ | ROARING BRK | |
| Design - main Concrete [1] Arch - Deck [11] | Design - approach O Girder | 3] and floorbeam system [03] | Kilometerpoint (Year built 1917 Skew angle 0 Historical significance | Structure FI | | [0000] not determinable at the | nis time. [4] |
| Total length 121.9 m = 400.0 ft Len Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance | gth of maximum spa $6.1 \text{ m} = 20.0 \text{ ft}$ | an 39 m = 128.0 ft Curb or sidewalk wide | Deck width, out-to | -out 7.8 m = 25.6 | ft Bridge road | lway width, curb-to-c | |
| | oncrete Cast-in-Plac | | | , | | wan wan ngn | 0.2 III 0.7 K |
| Type of wearing surface Bi | tuminous [6] | | | | | | |
| Deck protection | | | | | | | |
| Type of membrane/wearing surface | | | | | | | |
| Weight Limits | | | | | | | |
| Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating | | Load Factor(LF) [1] | | nventory rating | 12.7 metric ton = | = 14.0 tons | |
| 0.1 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine operating rating | | Load Factor(LF) [1] | | Operating rating | 20.9 metric ton = | = 23.0 tons | |
| Bridge posting | | | | Design Load M 1 | 3.5 / H 15 [2] | | |

| Functional Details | |
|---|--|
| Average Daily Traffic 2000 Average daily tr | ruck traffi 1 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 2680 Year 2029 |
| Road classification Local (Urban) [19] | Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 8.5 m = 27.9 ft |
| Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5] | Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median |
| Parallel structure designation No parallel structure | e exists. [N] |
| Type of service under bridge Railroad-waterway [7] | Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control |
| Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A | Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A |
| Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri | dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 14 m = 45.9 ft |
| Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature R | ailroad beneath structure [R] |
| Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$ | Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A |
| Minimum Vertical Underclearance 7 m = 23.0 ft | Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R] |
| Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intoler | able requiring high priority of corrrective action [3] |
| | |
| Repair and Replacement Plans | |
| Type of work to be performed | Work done by Work to be done by contract [1] |
| Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38] | Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0 |
| ropidoomonios [66] | Length of structure improvement 121 m = 397.0 ft Total project cost 2000 |
| | Year of improvement cost estimate |
| | Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state |
| | Border bridge - structure number |

| Inspection and Sufficiency | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Structure status Posted for le | oad [P] | Appraisal ratings - structural | Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] | | | |
| Condition ratings - superstructur | Poor [4] | Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment | Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3] | | | |
| Condition ratings - substructure | | | Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2] | | | |
| Condition ratings - deck | Poor [4] | deck geometry | | | | |
| Scour | Bridge foundations determine | ed to be stable for the asso | essed or calcula | ted scour condition | n. [8] | |
| Channel and channel protection | Bank protection is in need of Banks and/or channel have r | minor repairs. River cont ninor amounts of drift. [7] | rol devices and e | embankment prote | ection have a little minor damage. | |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequa | cy Superior to present desirable | e criteria [9] | St | atus evaluation | Structurally deficient [1] | |
| Pier or abutment protection | | | Sı | ufficiency rating | 16.3 | |
| Culverts Not applicable. Used | I if structure is not a culvert. [N] | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - railings | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - transitio | ns | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approac | ch guardrail | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approac | ch guardrail ends | | | | | |
| Inspection date April 2008 [| 0408] Designated insp | ection frequency 24 | Mon | ths | | |
| Underwater inspection | Every two years [Y24] | Underwater inspec | ction date | April 2008 [0408 | B] | |
| Fracture critical inspection Every two years [Y24] | | Fracture critical ins | spection date | ection date April 2008 [0408] | | |
| Other special inspection | Every two years [Y24] | Other special insp | ection date | April 2009 [0409 |)] | |