The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-35-27 =	075-20-52 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Northampton County [095]		Bethlehem [06088] 0.2 MILES WEST OF PA 412			40.590833	75.347778		
487301000090190 Highway agency district: 5			Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway A	gency [02]
Route 0	HIGH	ST,BETHLEHEM	Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected SAUCON C			REEK	
Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Truss - Thru [10] 0 Other		Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi Year built 1936 Year reconstructed N/A [0000] [00] Skew angle 60 Structure Flared Historical significance Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]						
Total length 41.1 m = 134.8 ft Length of maximum span 39.9 m = 130.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.3 m = 20.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.5 m = 18.0 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft								
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place				atti lott	, it	Out b of side	wan ngin	0.011
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (e (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wear	ing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		nventory rating	29 metric ton = 3	31.9 tons		
0.1 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		perating rating	49 metric ton = !	53.9 tons		
Bridge posting 10.0 - 19.9 % below			w [3]	D	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 100 Average daily tr	uck traffi % Year 1980 Future average daily traffic 140 Year 2000								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 5.8 m = 19.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5 m = 16.4 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
ropidosinomos [co]	Length of structure improvement 50 m = 164.1 ft Total project cost 1000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for loa	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural							
Condition ratings - superstructure		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limi	ts to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]								
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection				36					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitions									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:							
Other special inspection Not needed [N] Other special inspection date									