HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-39-35 =	078-13-05 = -
Pennsylvania [42]	Blair County [013]		Snyder [71624]	IRONVILLE			40.659722	78.218056
71014001001100 Highway agency district: 9			Owner State Highway	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Ag	ency [01]
Route 0 SR 1014		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected LITTLE JUN		NIATA RIVER				
Design - Concrete [1] main 2 Arch - Deck		Design - approach 0 Other	r [00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1912 Skew angle 45 Historical significa	Structure F	constructed 200 lared s not eligible for t		
Total length 50.6 m = 166.0 ft Length of maximum span 23.2 m = 76.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.2 m = 23.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.7 m = 22.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.7 m = 22.0 ft			Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.1 m = 0.3 ft Curb or side			ewalk width - right	0.1 m = 0.3 ft
Deck structure type		Not applicable [N]						
Type of wearing surface Not applicable (appli		lies only to structures with no deck) [N]						
Deck protection Not applicable (appli		es only to structures with no						
Type of membrane/wearing surface Not applicable (applied)			es only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 1.6 km = 1.0 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		No rating analysis pe	erformed [5]	Inventory rating	32.7 metric ton	= 36.0 tons		
		No rating analysis performed [5]		Operating rating 37.2 metric ton = 40.9 tons				
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					Design Load M 1	3.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 84 Average daily tru	ıck traffi 5 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 376 Year 2024							
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 5.2 m = 17.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
B : 1B 1 1B								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 63 m = 206.7 ft Total project cost 2000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 3760							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - superstructure Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as						
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]		is [5]						
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment protect debris are in the channel. [4]	Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cri	teria [8]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 51.9						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings	Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	Traffic safety features - transitions								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date April 2008 [0408] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in	nspection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						