The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-50-24 =	080-19-05 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Beaver County [007]			Koppel [40400] KOPPEL BRIDGE				40.840000	80.318056
040351016000000 Highway agency district 11		Owner State Highway Agency [01]		Maintenance	nce responsibility State Highway Agency [01]			
Route 351	KOPPE	EL BR	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersec	cted CSX, N & S	SRR,&BEAVER RI	
Design - Steel [3] main  7 Truss - Deck [	09]	Design - approach  Steel  String	[3] ger/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Year built 1915	3.3 km = 783.2 Year red Structure F	constructed 1994	1	
				Historical significance	Bridge i	s not eligible for t	he NRHP. [5]	
Total length 367.6 m =	1206.1 ft Leng	gth of maximum sp	oan 67.4 m = 221.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	t 9.4 m = 30.8	ft Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-o	7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left					ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0.8 m = 2.6 ft
Deck structure type	Clo	osed Grating [4]						
Type of wearing surface	Bit	uminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wear	ing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Allowable Stress(AS	) [2] Inve	entory rating	2.7 metric ton =	3.0 tons	
1.9 km = 1.2 mi  Method to determine operating rating			Allowable Stress(AS	[2] Operating rating		ating 32.7 metric ton = 36.0 tons		
Bridge posting 10.0 - 19.9 % below [3]					ign Load M 1	3.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 6371 Average daily tra	uck traffi 5 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 8870 Year 2024								
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Railroad-waterway [7]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  10 m = 32.8 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 9 m = 29.5 ft  Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 1000 Roadway improvement cost 3000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 368 m = 1207.4 ft Total project cost 14000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]		Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry				
Scour	Countermeasure	es have been installed to mitigate an ex	existing problem with scour. [7]			
Channel and channel protection		ng to slump. River control devices and ed movement evident. Debris is restrict	d embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is cting the channel slightly. [6]			
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to pres	sent desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 3	_		
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culv	ert. [N]				
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - transition	ns	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends					
Inspection date November 2	009 [1109] Des	signated inspection frequency 12	Months			
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	November 2004 [1104]			
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date			