

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------------------|--|
| Basic Information | | Pennsylvania [42] | | Westmoreland County [129] | | North Huntingdon [55128] | | NORTH HUNTINGDON TOWNSHIP | | 40-20-39 = 40.344167 | | 079-43-28 = - 79.724444 | |
| 640993008000730 | | Highway agency district 12 | | Owner State Highway Agency [01] | | Maintenance responsibility | | State Highway Agency [01] | | | | | |
| Route 993 | | SR 0993 | | Toll On free road [3] | | Features intersected | | BRUSH CREEK | | | | | |
| Design - main Steel [3] | | Design - approach | | Kilometerpoint 618 km = 383.2 mi | | Year built 1937 | | Year reconstructed N/A [0000] | | | | | |
| 1 Truss - Thru [10] | | 0 Other [00] | | Skew angle 43 | | Structure Flared | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Historical significance | | Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5] | | | | | | | |
| Total length 44.8 m = 147.0 ft | | Length of maximum span 44.5 m = 146.0 ft | | Deck width, out-to-out 15.8 m = 51.8 ft | | Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.4 m = 21.0 ft | | | | | | | |
| Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.4 m = 21.0 ft | | Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft | | Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.7 m = 5.6 ft | | | | | | | | | |
| Deck structure type | | Concrete Cast-in-Place [1] | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of wearing surface | | Bituminous [6] | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deck protection | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of membrane/wearing surface | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------|--|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|
| Weight Limits | | Bypass, detour length | | Method to determine inventory rating | | Load Factor(LF) [1] | | Inventory rating | | 0 metric ton = 0.0 tons | |
| 0.6 km = 0.4 mi | | Method to determine operating rating | | Load Factor(LF) [1] | | Operating rating | | 0 metric ton = 0.0 tons | | | |
| Bridge posting | | Equal to or above legal loads [5] | | Design Load | | M 13.5 / H 15 [2] | | | | | |

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic Average daily truck traffi % Year Future average daily traffic Year

Road classification Lanes on structure Approach roadway width

Type of service on bridge Direction of traffic Bridge median

Parallel structure designation

Type of service under bridge Lanes under structure Navigation control

Navigation vertical clearanc Navigation horizontal clearance

Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway

Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature

Minimum lateral underclearance on right Minimum lateral underclearance on left

Minimum Vertical Underclearance Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature

Appraisal ratings - underclearances

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed

Work done by

Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost

Length of structure improvement Total project cost

Year of improvement cost estimate

Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state

Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status

Open, would be posted or closed except for temporary shoring [D]

Appraisal ratings - structural

Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]

Condition ratings - superstructure

Critical [2]

Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment

Equal to present desirable criteria [8]

Condition ratings - substructure

Poor [4]

Appraisal ratings - deck geometry

Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]

Condition ratings - deck

Critical [2]

Scour

Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]

Channel and channel protection

Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]

Appraisal ratings - water adequacy

Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3]

Status evaluation

Structurally deficient [1]

Pier or abutment protection

Sufficiency rating

0

Culverts

Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]

Traffic safety features - railings

Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]

Traffic safety features - transitions

Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends

Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]

Inspection date

July 2009 [0709]

Designated inspection frequency

24

Months

Underwater inspection

Not needed [N]

Underwater inspection date

Fracture critical inspection

Not needed [N]

Fracture critical inspection date

Other special inspection

Unknown [Y06]

Other special inspection date

July 2005 [0705]

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Pennsylvania [42] Westmoreland County [129] North Huntingdon [55128] NORTH HUNTINGDON TOWNSHIP 40-20-39 = 40.344167 079-43-28 = - 79.724444

36352 Highway agency district 12 Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility State Highway Agency [01]

Route 993 SR 0993 Toll On free road [3] Features intersected BRUSH CREEK

Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Other [00] Kilometerpoint 618 km = 383.2 mi Year built 1937 Year reconstructed 2010

1 Truss - Thru [10] Skew angle 43 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]

Total length 44.8 m = 147.0 ft Length of maximum span 44.5 m = 146.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 13.4 m = 44.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 12.4 m = 40.7 ft

Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.4 m = 21.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.7 m = 5.6 ft

Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]

Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]

Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1]

Type of membrane/wearing surface

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length 0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine inventory rating Load Factor(LF) [1] Inventory rating 39 metric ton = 42.9 tons

Method to determine operating rating Load Factor(LF) [1] Operating rating 65.3 metric ton = 71.8 tons

Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5] Design Load

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic Average daily truck traffi % Year Future average daily traffic Year

Road classification Lanes on structure Approach roadway width

Type of service on bridge Direction of traffic Bridge median

Parallel structure designation

Type of service under bridge Lanes under structure Navigation control

Navigation vertical clearanc Navigation horizontal clearance

Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway

Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature

Minimum lateral underclearance on right Minimum lateral underclearance on left

Minimum Vertical Underclearance Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature

Appraisal ratings - underclearances

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed

Work done by

Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost

Length of structure improvement Total project cost

Year of improvement cost estimate

Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state

Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Structure status | <input type="text" value="Open, no restriction [A]"/> | Appraisal ratings - structural | <input type="text" value="Better than present minimum criteria [7]"/> |
| Condition ratings - superstructure | <input type="text" value="Very Good [8]"/> | Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment | <input type="text" value="Equal to present desirable criteria [8]"/> |
| Condition ratings - substructure | <input type="text" value="Good [7]"/> | Appraisal ratings - deck geometry | <input type="text" value="Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3]"/> |
| Condition ratings - deck | <input type="text" value="Very Good [8]"/> | | |
| Scour | <input type="text" value="Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]"/> | | |
| Channel and channel protection | <input type="text" value="Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]"/> | | |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequacy | <input type="text" value="Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3]"/> | Status evaluation | <input type="text"/> |
| Pier or abutment protection | <input type="text"/> | Sufficiency rating | <input type="text" value="81.2"/> |
| Culverts | <input type="text" value="Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]"/> | | |
| Traffic safety features - railings | <input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/> | | |
| Traffic safety features - transitions | <input type="text"/> | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach guardrail | <input type="text"/> | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends | <input type="text"/> | | |
| Inspection date | <input type="text" value="July 2011 [0711]"/> | Designated inspection frequency | <input type="text" value="24"/> Months |
| Underwater inspection | <input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/> | Underwater inspection date | <input type="text"/> |
| Fracture critical inspection | <input type="text" value="Every two years [Y24]"/> | Fracture critical inspection date | <input type="text" value="October 2010 [1010]"/> |
| Other special inspection | <input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/> | Other special inspection date | <input type="text"/> |