The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					40-03-25 =	077-03-46 = -	
Pennsylvania [42] York County	[133]	Franklin [27480] .3 MI EAST OF US15		40.056944	77.062778		
38013 Highwa	y agency district 8	Owner State Highway A	gency [01]	Maintenance responsibilit	y State Highway Age	ncy [01]	
Route 0	SR 4038	Toll On free	e road [3] Fea	itures intersected N BR.C	F BERMUDIAN CREEK		
Design - Muminum, Wrought Iron of Iron [9] Truss - Thru [10]	Design - approach O Other	[00]	Xilometerpoint 368.4 Year built 1930 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Year reconstructed Structure Flared Bridge is eligible for			
Total length 12.8 m = 42.0 ft Length of maximum span 11.6 m = 38.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.4 m = 14.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.7 m = 12.1 ft							
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Cle	earance 3.7 m = 12.1 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left $0.1 \text{ m} = 0.3 \text{ f}$	t Curb or	sidewalk width - right	0.1 m = 0.3 ft	
Deck structure type	Wood or Timber [8]						
Type of wearing surface	Wood or Timber [7]						
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/wearing surface							
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		atory rating 4.5 metric to	4.5 metric ton = 5.0 tons		
0.1 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS)	Opera	ating rating 82 metric to	82 metric ton = 90.2 tons		
Bridge posting			Desig	gn Load			

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 156 Average daily tr	uck traffi 9 % Year 2012 Future average daily traffic 210 Year 2032						
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 3.7 m = 12.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 16 m = 52.5 ft Total project cost 0						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for loa	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2] Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intol	igh priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determine						
Channel and channel protection Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy Better than present minimum		criteria [7]	Sta	atus evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection			Su	fficiency rating	21.9		
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transitions							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends						
Inspection date January 2012 [0112] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months							
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date							
Fracture critical inspection Unknown [Y06]		Fracture critical in:					
Other special inspection Unknown [Y06] Other special inspection date July 2011 [0711]							